# **Appendix: Common and Scientific Names of Species Discussed in the Document**

Common name	Scientific name		
T.			
Flora:			
Aspen	Populus spp		
Firs	Abies spp		
Hemlock	Tsuga spp		
Douglas-fir	Pseudotsuga menziesii (Mirbel) Franco.		
Grand fir	Abies grandis (Dougl. ex D. Don) Lindl.		
Ponderosa pine	Pinus ponderosa (Dougl. Ex Laws.)		
Tanoak	Lithocarpus Blume		
Western hemlock	Tsuga heterophylla (Raf.) Sarg.		
Western redcedar	Thuja plicata (Donn ex D.Don)		
Aquatic Species:			
Fish—			
Pacific salmon	Oncorhynchus spp		
- ·			
Bull trout	Salvelinus confluentus		
Coastal cutthroat trout	Oncorhynchus clarkii clarkii		
Chinook salmon	Oncorhynchus tshawytscha		
Chum salmon	Oncorhynchus keta		
Coho salmon	Oncorhynchus kisutch		
Cutthroat trout	Oncorhynchus clarkii		
Lost River sucker	Deltistes luxatus		
Shortnose sucker	Chasmistes brevirostris		
Steelhead	Oncorhynchus mykis		
Oregon chub	Oregonichthys crameri		
Amphibians and Reptiles—			
del Norte salamander	Plethodon elongatus		
Terrestrial species:			
Birds—			
Jays	Cyanocitta spp		
Ravens	Corvus spp		
Barred owl	Strix varia		
Marbled murrelet	Brachyramphus marmoratus		

Northern spotted owl	Strix occidentalis caurina		
Mammals			
Wood rats	Neotoma spp.		
Red tree vole	Arborimus longicaudus		
Disease			
Sudden Oak Death	Phytophthora ramorum		

Glossary Table 1--Major classification schemes used to describe forest developmental stages and associated characteristics. Characteristics are illustrated from various ecosystem perspectives by using a Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) dominated sere growing in the western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*) zone (Franklin and Dyrness 1988). Characteristics will vary widely based on site location, disturbance history, management, and in other forest types. This table was developed by B. Kerns (see Monserud et al. 2003 Compatible Forest Management: 28-32).

Major classification systems and characteristics for forest developmental stages					
Ecosystem perspective	Ecological structure and process	Wildlife habitat	Timber production		
Forest developer stage	ment				
First	Reorganization (Bormann and Likens 1979) Stand initiation (Oliver and Larson 1990) Establishment (Spies and Franklin 1991) Ecosystem initiation (Carey and Curtis 1996)	Grass/Forb-Open, Grass/Forb-Closed, Shrub/Seedling-Open, Shrub/Seedling-Closed, Sapling/Pole-Open (O'Neil et al. 2001)	Seedling (Haynes 2003) Early seral (FEMAT 1993)		
Characteristics	Disturbance/legacy creation and cohort establishment (Franklin et al. 2002)  Pioneer tree cohort established with a range of regeneration densities  Biological legacies present depending on initial disturbance type, intensity and management  Rapid biomass accumulation  Above- and below-ground resource availability high  Nutrient transfer from soil to biomass  Possible introduction and spread of exotic/invasive	<ul> <li>Biodiversity high</li> <li>Herb and shrub understory may be abundant or persistent</li> <li>Open canopy conditions important for birds and mammals</li> <li>Biological legacies retained provide habitat</li> </ul>	Stand age typically 0-15 years Single species tree cohort densely seeded or planted, typically with genetically altered stock Competing vegetation controlled or removed Precommercial Includes first tree age class of seedlings (average age of 5 years)		
Second	Aggradation (Bormann and Likens 1979) Stem (Oliver and Larson 1990) Thinning (Spies and Franklin 1991) Competitive exclusion	Sapling/Pole-Moderate, Sapling/Pole-Closed (O'Neil et al. 2001)	Poles and saplings (Haynes 2003) Mid-seral (FEMAT 1993)		

(Carey and Curtis 1996)

Characteristics	Canopy closure (Franklin et al 2002)  Taller vegetation becomes dominant  Leaf area and biomass accumulate  Canopies close on some sites—rate depends on regeneration density and site productivity  Few snags and coarse woody debris (CWD) in managed stands  Rapid understory environment changes  Resource availability decline	<ul> <li>Biodiversity declines</li> <li>Depending on canopy structure, herb and shrub understory abundance declines</li> <li>Amphibians associated with closed canopies</li> <li>Minimize stage through precommercial and variable density thinning</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Stand age typically 15 to 35 years</li> <li>Conventional precommercial thinning to maintain evenly spaced trees and promote tree growth</li> <li>Pole and sapling sized trees usually not merchantable</li> <li>Commercial thinning can occur depending on market conditions</li> </ul>
Third	Aggradation (Bormann and Likens 1979) Stem exclusion (Oliver and Larson 1990) Thinning (Spies and Franklin 1991) Competitive exclusion (Carey and Curtis 1996) Biomass accumulation/competitive exclusion (Franklin et al. 2002)	Small Tree-Single Story-Moderate, Small Tree-Single Story-Closed, Medium Tree-Single Story-Moderate, Medium Tree-Single Story-Closed, Large Tree-Single Story-Moderate, Large Tree-Single Story-Closed (O'Neil et al. 2001)	Young (Haynes 2003) Late seral (FEMAT 1993)
Characteristics	<ul> <li>Woody biomass development</li> <li>Tree crown differentiation and lower branch pruning</li> <li>Low resource availability early, increases later</li> <li>Density dependant tree mortality with high stand density</li> <li>Few snags and CWD</li> <li>Competitive exclusion of many organisms</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low biodiversity</li> <li>Depending on canopy structure, herb and shrub abundance may be low</li> <li>Amphibians associated with closed canopies</li> <li>Minimize stage through precommercial and variable density thinning</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Stand age typically 45 to 75 years</li> <li>Pioneer tree cohort dominates site</li> <li>Sawtimber and nonsawtimber size trees</li> <li>Conventionally thought of as the culmination of mean annual increment</li> <li>For many private industrial landowners, may reflect typical rotation lengths and stand developments ends</li> </ul>
Fourth	Transition (Bormann and Likens 1979) Understory reinitiation (Oliver and Larson 1990) Mature (Spies and Franklin 1991) Understory reinitiation, developed understory (Carey and Curtis) Maturation (Franklin et al. 2002)	Small Tree-Single Story- Open, Medium Tree-Single Story-Open, Large Tree- Single Story-Open (O'Neil et al. 2001)	Mature seral (FEMAT 1993) Mature (Haynes 2003A)

$\alpha_1$			
Chai	racto	VICI	77
Citui	ucic	ıwı	ic

- Maximum height and crown spread of pioneer tree cohort
- · Minimal coarse woody debris
- Heterogeneous resource availability
- Shift to density independent mortality
- Sub-lethal tree damage produces greater individual tree conditions and niche diversification
- Extended rotations (> 80 years) to provide habitat
- Re-establishment of understory species, including shade-tolerant conifers
- Increase in diversity of fauna, especially with multi-stored canopies
- Increase habitat through commercial thinning and CWD management
- Stand age typically 85 to 135 years
- Less common stage on private industrial lands
- Composed mostly of sawtimber size trees
- Conventionally thought of as over culmination of mean annual increment

#### Fifth

Steady-state (Bormann and Likens 1979)

Old-growth (Oliver and Larson 1990)

Transition and shifting-gap (Spies and Franklin 1996)

Botanically diverse, niche diversification fully functional (managed) and oldgrowth (Carey and Curtis 1996)

Vertical diversification, horizontal diversification and pioneer cohort loss (Franklin et al. 2002) Small Tree-Multistory-Open, Small Tree-Multistory-Moderate, Small Tree-Multistory-Closed, Medium Tree-Multistory-Open, Medium Tree-Multistory-Moderate, Medium Tree-Multistory-Closed, Large Tree-Multistory-Open, Large Tree-Multistory-Moderate, Large Tree-Multistory-Closed, Giant Tree-Multistory (O'Neil et al. 2001)

Mature (FEMAT 1993) Old mature stage (Haynes 2003 RPA)

#### Characteristics

- Slow decline in aboveground biomass
- Many substages for longlived species
- Development of late successional and old-growth attributes (Spies and Franklin 1996)
- Density independent mortality increases, large, persistent gaps may form
- Accelerated generation of cwd
- Highly heterogeneous resource availability
- Sub-lethal tree damage continues
- Loss of dominants (800 to 1300 yrs.)

- Extended rotations to provide habitat
- Large trees, multiple stories, snags, CWD, and closed canopies create habitats for numerous species
- Faunal diversity, especially birds and mammals is high
- Stand age typically more than 145 years
- Uncommon stage on private industrial lands
- Conventionally thought of as past the point where net annual growth has peaked

### **Table References**

**Bormann, F.H; Likens, G.E. 1979**. Pattern and process in a forested ecosystem. New York: Springer-Verlag. 253 p.

Carey, A.B.; Curtis, R.O. 1996. Conservation of biodiversity: a useful paradigm for forest ecosystem management. Wildlife Society Bulletin. 24: 610-620.

Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team (FEMAT). 1993. Forest ecosystem management: an ecological, economic, and social assessment. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of the Interior [and others]. [Irregular pagination].

Franklin, J.F.; Spies, T.A.; Van Pelt, R.; Carey, A.; Thornburgh, D.A.; Berg, R.; Lindenmayer, D.B., Harmon, M.E., Keeton, W.S., Shaw, D.C., Bible, K., and Chen, J. 2002. Disturbances and structural development of natural forest ecosystems with silvicultural implications, using Douglas-fir as an example. Forest Ecology and Management. 155: 399-423.

**Haynes, R.W. (Tech. Coord.). 2003**. An analysis of the timber situation in the United States: 1952 to 2050. A technical document supporting the 2000 USDA Forest Service RPA assessment. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-560. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 254 p.

Oliver, C.D.; Larson, B.C. 1990. Forest stand dynamics. New York: McGraw-Hill. 467 p.

O'Neil, T.A.; Bettinger, K.A.; Heyden, M.V.; Marcot, B.G.; Barrett, C.B.; Mellen, K.; Vanderhaegen, W.M.; Johnson, D.H.; Doran, P.J.; Wunder, L.; Boula, K.M. 2001. Structural conditions and habitat elements of Oregon and Washington. In: Johnson, D.H.; O'Neil, T.A., eds. Wildlife-habitat relationships in Oregon and Washington Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University Press: 115-139.

**Spies, T.A., and Franklin, J.F. 1991**. The structure of natural young, mature, and old-growth Douglas-fir forests in Oregon and Washington. In: Ruggiero; L.F.; Aubry, K.B.; Carey, A.B.; Huff, M.H., eds. Wildlife and vegetation of unmanaged Douglas-fir forests (pp. 91-109). Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-285. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station.

**Spies, T.A., and Franklin, J.F. 1996**. The diversity of maintenance of old-growth forests. In: Szaro, R.C.; Johnson, D.W., eds. Biodiversity in managed landscapes: theory and practice. New York: Oxford: 296-314.

## **NWFP GLOSSARY**

This glossary has evolved from the Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team report (1993).

**Adaptive management**—The process of implementing policy decisions as scientifically driven management experiments that test predictions and assumptions in management plans, and using the resulting information to improve the plans.

**Adaptive management areas**—Landscape units designated for development and testing of technical and social approaches to achieving desired ecological, economic, and other social objectives.

**Age class**—A management classification using the age of a stand of trees.

**Alluvial**—Originated through the transport by and deposition from running water.

**Aquatic ecosystem**—Any body of water, such as a stream, lake or estuary, and all organisms and nonliving components within it, functioning as a natural system.

**Aquatic habitat**—Habitat that occurs in free water.

**Associated species**—A species found to be numerically more abundant in a particular forest successional stage or type compared to other areas.

**Baseline**—The starting point for analysis of environmental consequences. This may be the conditions at a point in time (for example, when inventory data are collected) or may be the average of a set of data collected over a specified period).

**Biological diversity**—Various life forms and processes, including a complexity of species, communities, gene pools, and ecological functions.

**Biomass**—The total quantity (at any given time) of living organisms of one or more species per unit of space (species biomass), or of all the species in a biotic community (community biomass).

**Blowdown**—Trees felled by high winds.

**Board foot**—Lumber or timber measurement term. The amount of wood contained in an unfinished board 1 inch thick, 12 inches long, and 12 inches wide.

**Breast height**—A standard height from ground level for recording diameter, girth, or basal area of a tree, generally 4.5 feet.

**Bureau of Land Management**—A division within the U.S. Department of the Interior.

**Canopy**—A layer of foliage in a forest stand. This most often refers to the uppermost layer of foliage, but it can be used to describe lower layers in a multistoried stand.

**Clearcut**—A harvest in which all or almost all of the trees are removed in one cutting.

**Coarse woody debris**—Portion of a tree that has fallen or been cut and left in the woods.

Usually refers to pieces at least 20 inches in diameter.

**Colonization**—The establishment of a species in an area not currently occupied by that species. Colonization often involves dispersal across an area of unsuitable habitat.

**Community**—(1) Pertaining to human associations based on social interactions, shared interests, norms, or values, or geography, (2) Pertaining to plant or animal species living in close association and interacting as a unit. (2) S/E

**Conifer**—A tree belonging to the order Gymnospermae, comprising a wide range of trees that are mostly evergreens. Conifers bear cones (hence, coniferous) and needleshaped or scalelike leaves.

**Connectivity**—A measure of the extent to which conditions among late-stage old-growth forest areas (LSOG) provide habitat for breeding, feeding, dispersal, and movement of LSOG-associated wildlife and fish species (see LSOG forest).

**Conservation**—The process or means of achieving recovery of viable populations.

Conservation strategy—A management plan for a species, group of species, or ecosystem that prescribes standards and guidelines that if implemented provide a high likelihood that the species, groups of species, or ecosystem, with its full complement of species and processes, will continue to exist well distributed throughout a planning area, that is, a viable population.

**Corridor**—A defined tract of land, usually linear, through which a species must travel to reach habitat suitable for reproduction and other life-sustaining needs.

**Cover**—Vegetation used by wildlife protection from predators, or to mitigate weather conditions, or to reproduce. May also refer to the protection of the soil and the shading provided to herbs and forbs by vegetation.

**Cumulative effects**—Those effects on the environment that result from the incremental effect of the action when added to the past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency (Federal or non-Federal) or person undertakes such

other actions. Cumulative effects can result from individually minor but collectively significant actions taking place over a period.

**Debris flow (debris torrent)**—A rapid moving mass of rock fragments, soil, and mud, with more than half of the particles being larger than sand size.

**Demography**—The quantitative analysis of population structure and trends; population dynamics.

**Desired future condition**—An explicit description of the physical and biological characteristics of aquatic and riparian environments believed necessary to meet fish, aquatic ecosystem, and riparian ecosystem objectives.

**Diameter at breast height**—The diameter of a tree 4.5 feet above the ground on the uphill side of the tree.

**Dispersal**—The movement, usually one way and on any time scale, of plants or animals from their point of origin to another location where they subsequently produce offspring.

**Distribution (of a species)**—The spatial arrangement of a species within its range.

**Disturbance**—A force that causes significant change in structure and composition through natural events such as fire, flood, wind, or earthquake, mortality caused by insect or disease outbreaks, or by human caused events, for example, the harvest of forest products.

**Diversity**—The variety, distribution, and abundance of different communities or species within an area (see Biological diversity).

**Down log**—Portion of a tree that has fallen or been cut and left in the woods. Particularly important as habitat for some late-successional/oldgrowth-associated species.

**Draft environmental impact statement (DEIS)**—The draft statement of environmental effects that is required for major Federal action under Section 102 of the National Environment Policy Act, and released to the public and other agencies for comment and review.

**Drainage**—An area (basin) mostly bounded by ridges or other similar topographic features, encompassing part, most, or all of a watershed and enclosing some 5,000 acres (see Subdrainage and Forest watershed).

**Ecosystem**—A unit comprising interacting organisms considered together with their environment (for example, marsh, watershed, and lake ecosystems).

**Ecosystem diversity**—Various species and ecological processes that occur in different physical settings.

**Ecosystem management**—A strategy or plan to manage ecosystems to provide for all associated organisms, as opposed to a strategy or plan for managing individual species.

**Edge**—Where plant communities meet or where successional stages or vegetative conditions with plant communities come together.

**Endangered species**—Any species of plant or animal defined through the Endangered Species Act as being in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range, and published in the Federal Register.

**Environmental assessment**—A systematic analysis of site-specific activities used to determine whether such activities have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment and whether a formal environmental impact statement is required; and to aid an agency's compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act when no environmental impact statement is necessary.

**Environmental impact**—The positive or negative effect of any action on a given area or resource.

**Environmental impact statement (EIS)**—A formal document to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency that considers significant environmental impacts expected from implementation of a major Federal action.

Environmental Protection Agency—An independent agency of the

U.S. Government (cabinet-level status is pending).

**Ephemeral streams**—Streams that contain running water only sporadically, such as during and following storm events.

**Even-aged silviculture**—Manipulation of a forest stand to achieve a condition in which trees have less than a 20-year age difference. Regeneration in a particular stand is obtained during a short period at or near the time that a stand has reached the desired age or size for harvesting. Clearcut, shelterwood, or seed tree cutting methods produce evenaged stands.

**Experimental forests**—Forest tracts, generally on National Forests, designated as areas where research and experiments involving forestry, wildlife, and related disciplines can be conducted.

**Extirpation**—The elimination of a species from a particular area.

Filter—Coarse

Fine

**Final environmental impact statement (FEIS)**—The final report of environmental effects of proposed action on an area of land. This is required for major Federal actions

under Section 102 of the National Environmental Policy Act. It is a revision of the draft environmental impact statement to include public and agency responses to the draft.

Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team (FEMAT)—As assigned by President Clinton, the team of scientists, researchers, and technicians from seven Federal agencies who created Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team report (1993).

**Function**—The flow of mineral nutrients, water, energy, or species.

**Geomorphic**—Pertaining to the form or shape of and those processes that affect the surface of the earth.

**Geographic information system**—A computer system capable of storing and manipulating spatial (that is, mapped) data.

**Green-tree retention**—A stand management practice in which live trees as well as snags and large down wood are left as biological legacies within harvest units to provide habitat components over the next management cycle.

**Guideline**—A policy statement that is not a mandatory requirement (as opposed to a standard, which is mandatory).

**Habitat**—The place where a plant or animal naturally or normally lives and grows.

**Habitat diversity**—The number of different types of habitat within a given area.

**Habitat fragmentation**—The breaking up of habitat into discrete islands through modification or conversion of habitat by management activities.

Impact—A spatial or temporal change in the environment caused by human activity.

Interagency Scientific Committee (ISC)—A committee of scientists that was established by the Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management, Fish and Wildlife

Service, and National Park Service to develop a conservation strategy for northern spotted owls.

Interdisciplinary team—A group of individuals with varying areas of specialty assembled to solve a problem or perform a task. The team is assembled out of recognition that no one scientific discipline is sufficiently broad enough to adequately analyze the problem and propose action.

**Intermittent stream**—Any nonpermanent flowing drainage feature having a definable channel and evidence of scour or deposition. This includes what are sometimes referred to as ephemeral streams if they meet these two criteria.

**Issue**—A matter of controversy or dispute over resource management activities that is well defined or topically discrete. Addressed in the design of planning alternatives.

**Key watershed**—As defined by National Forest and Bureau of Land Management District fish biologists, a watershed containing (1) habitat for potentially threatened species or stocks of anadromous salmonids or other potentially threatened fish, or (2) greater than 6 square miles with high-quality water and fish habitat.

Land allocation—The specification in forest plans of where activities, including timber harvest, can occur on a National Forest or Bureau of Land Management District.

**Landscape**—A heterogeneous land area with interacting ecosystems that are repeated in similar form throughout.

**Large woody debris**—Pieces of wood larger than 10 feet long and 6 inches in diameter, in a steam channel.

Late-successional old-growth habitat—A forest in its mature or old growth stages.

**Late-successional reserve**—A forest in its mature or old-growth stages that has been reserved under each a management option (see Old growth forest and Succession).

Low level green tree retention—A regeneration harvest designed to retain only enough green trees and other structural components (snag, coarse woody debris, etc.) to result in the development of stands that meet old-growth definitions within 100 to 120 years after harvest entry, considering overstory mortality.

**Management activity**—An activity undertaken for the purpose of harvesting, traversing, transporting, protecting, changing, replenishing, or otherwise using resources.

**Marbled murrelet**—A small robin-sized seabird (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*) that nests in old-growth forests within 50 miles of marine environments. Proposed for listing as a threatened species by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

**Marbled murrelet habitat**—Primarily late-successional/old growth forest with trees that are large enough and old enough to develop broad crowns and large limbs, which provide substrates for nests. Also includes some younger stands in which tree limbs are deformed by dwarf mistletoe, creating broad platforms.

**Matrix**—Federal lands outside of reserves, withdrawn areas, and managed latesuccessional areas.

Mature stand—A mappable stand of trees for which the annual net rate of growth has peaked. Stands are generally greater than 80 to 100 years old and less than 180 to 200 years old. Stand age, diameter of dominant trees, and stand structure at maturity differ by forest cover types and local site conditions. Mature stands generally contain trees with a smaller average diameter, less age class variation, and less structural complexity than old-growth stands of the same forest type. Mature stages of some forest types are suitable

habitat for spotted owls. However, mature forests are not always spotted owl habitat, and spotted owl habitat is not always mature forest.

**Model**—An idealized representation of reality developed to describe, analyze, or understand the behavior of some aspect of it; a mathematical representation of the relations under study. The term model is applicable to a broad class of representations, ranging from a relatively simple qualitative description of a system or organization to a highly abstract set of mathematical equations.

**Monitoring**—The process of collecting information to evaluate if objective and anticipated or assumed results of a management plan are being realized or if implementation is proceeding as planned.

**Monitoring program**—The administrative program used for monitoring.

Multiple use—Management of the public lands and their various resource values so that they are utilized in the combination that will best meet the present and future needs of the American people. Making the most judicious use of the land for some or all of these resources or related services over areas large enough to provide sufficient latitude for periodic adjustments in use to conform to changing needs and conditions. The use of some land for less than all of the resources. A combination of balanced and diverse resource uses that takes into account the long-term needs of future generations for renewable and nonrenewable resources, including, but not limited to, recreation, range, timber, minerals, watershed, wildlife and fish, and natural scenic, scientific, and historical values. Harmonious and coordinated management of the various resources without permanent impairment of the productivity of the land and the quality of the environment.

This combination is not necessarily the one that will give the greatest dollar return or greatest unit output.

**Multistoried**—Forest stands that contain trees of various heights and diameter classes and therefore support foliage at various heights in the vertical profile of the stand.

National Environmental Policy Act—An act passed in 1969 to declare a national policy that encourages productive and enjoyable harmony between humankind and the environment, promotes efforts that will prevent or eliminate damage to the environment and biosphere and stimulate the health and welfare of humanity, enriches the understanding of the ecological systems and natural resources important to the nation, and establishes a Council on Environmental Quality (The Principal Laws Relating to Forest Service Activities, Agric. Handb. 453. USDA Forest Service 1993).

**National Forest Management Act**—A law passed in 1976 as an amendment to the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act, requiring the preparation of forest plans and the preparation of regulations to guide that development.

**National Marine Fisheries Service**—A division within the U.S. Department of Commerce.

National Park Service—A division within the U.S. Department of the Interior.

Northern spotted owl—One (*Strix occidentalis caurina*) of three subspecies of the spotted owl that ranges from southern British Columbia, Canada, through western Washington and Oregon, and into northwestern California. Listed as a threatened species by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

**Old growth**—This stage constitutes the potential plant community capable of existing on a site given the frequency of natural disturbance events. For forest communities, this

stage exists from about age 200 until when stand replacement occurs and secondary succession begins again. Depending on fire frequency and intensity, old-growth forests may have different structures, species composition, and age distributions. In forests with longer periods between natural disturbance, the forest structure will be more even-aged at late mature or early old-growth stages.

**Old-growth forest**—A forest stand usually at least 180 to 220 years old with moderate to high canopy closure; a multilayered, multispecies canopy dominated by large overstory trees; high incidence of large trees, some with broken tops and other indications of old and decaying wood (decadence); many large snags; and heavy accumulations of wood, including large logs on the ground.

**Old-growth stand**—A mappable area of old-growth forest.

**Overstory**—Trees that provide the uppermost layer of foliage in a forest with more than one roughly horizontal layer of foliage.

**Owl region**—The geographic area within the range of the northern spotted owl.

**Peak flow**—The highest amount of stream or river flow occurring in a year or from a single storm event.

Perennial stream—A stream that typically has running water on a year around basis.

Physiographic province—A geographic area having a similar set of biophysical characteristics and processes because of the effects of climate and geology that result in patterns of soils and broad-scale plant communities. Habitat patterns, wildlife distributions, and historical land use patterns may differ significantly from those of adjacent provinces.

**Planning area**—All the lands within a Federal agency's management boundary addressed in land management plans.

**Plant association**—A plant community type based on land management potential, successional patterns, and species composition.

**Plant community**—An association of plants of various species found growing together in different areas with similar site characteristics.

**Population**—A collection of individual organisms of the same species that potentially interbreed and share a common gene pool. Population density refers to the number of individuals of a species per unit area, population persistence to the capacity of the population to maintain sufficient density to persist, well distributed, over time (see Viable population).

**Population dynamics**—The aggregate of changes that occur during the life of a population. Included are all phases of recruitment and growth, senility, mortality, seasonal fluctuation in biomass, and persistence of each year class and its relative dominance, and the effects that any or all of these factors exert on the population.

**Population viability**—Probability that a population will persist for a specified period across its range despite normal fluctuations in population and environmental conditions.

**Predator**—Any animal that preys externally on others by hunting, killing, and generally feeding on a succession of hosts, that is, the prey.

**Prescribed fire**—A fire burning under specified conditions that will accomplish certain planned objectives. The fire may result from planned or unplanned ignitions.

**Process**—Change in state of an entity.

Range (of a species)—The area or region over which an organism occurs.

**Record of decision**—A document separate from but associated with an environmental impact statement that states the management decision, identifies all alternatives including both the environmentally preferable and preferred alternatives, states whether all practicable means to avoid environmental harm from the preferred alternative have been adopted, and if not, why not.

**Recovery**—Action that is necessary to reduce or resolve the threats that caused a species to be listed as threatened or endangered.

**Reforestation**—The natural or artificial restocking of an area with forest trees; most commonly used in reference to artificial stocking.

**Refugia**—Locations and habitats that support populations of organisms that are limited to small fragments of their previous geographic range (that is, endemic populations).

**Regeneration**—The actual seedlings and saplings existing in a stand; or the act of establishing young trees naturally or artificially.

**Region**—A Forest Service administrative unit. For example, the Pacific Northwest (Region 6), includes National Forests in Oregon and Washington, and the Pacific Southwest Region (Region 5), that includes National Forests in California.

Regional guide—The guide developed to meet the requirements of the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974, as amended (National Forest Management Act). Regional guides provide standards and guidelines for addressing major issues and management concerns that need to be considered at the regional level to facilitate National Forest planning.

### **Regulation models—**

**Riparian area**—A geographic area containing an aquatic ecosystem and adjacent upland areas that directly affect it. This includes flood plain, woodlands, and all areas within a horizontal distance of about 100 feet from the normal line of high water of a steam channel or from the shoreline of a standing body of water.

**Riparian reserves**—Designated riparian areas found outside the latesuccessional reserves.

**Riparian zone**—Those terrestrial areas where the vegetation complex and microclimate conditions are products of the combined presence and influence of perennial and intermittent water, associated high water tables, and soils that exhibit some wetness characteristics. Normally used to refer to the zone within which plants grow rooted in the water table of these rivers, streams, lakes, ponds, reservoirs, springs, marshes, seeps, bogs, and wet meadows.

**Risk analysis**—A qualitative assessment of the probability of persistence of wildlife species and ecological systems under various alternatives and management options; generally also accounts for scientific uncertainties.

**Rotation**—The planned number of years between regeneration of a forest stand and its final harvest (regeneration cut or harvest). The age of a forest at final harvest is referred to as rotation age. In the Douglas fir region, an extended rotation is 120 to 180 years, a long rotation 180 years.

### Scale—

**Sensitive species**—Those species that (1) have appeared in the Federal Register as proposed for classification and are under consideration for official listing as endangered or threatened species or (2) are on an official state list or (3) are recognized by the USDA

Forest Service or other management agency as needing special management to prevent their being placed on Federal or state lists.

**Seral stage**—See glossary table 1 for three alternative definitions

**Shade-tolerant species**—Plant species that have evolved to grow well in shade.

**Silvicultural practices (or treatments or system)**—The set of field techniques and general methods used to modify and manage a forest stand over time to meet desired conditions and objectives.

**Silvicultural prescription**—A professional plan for controlling the establishment, composition, constitution, and growth of forests.

**Silviculture**—The science and practice of controlling the establishment, composition, and growth of the vegetation of forest stands. It includes the control or production of stand structures such as snags and down logs, in addition to live vegetation.

**Simulation**—The use of a computer or mathematical model to predict effects from a management option given different sets of assumptions about population vital rates.

**Site productivity**—The ability of a geographic area to produce biomass, as determined by conditions (for example, soil type and depth, rainfall, temperature) in that area.

**Snag**—Any standing dead, partially dead, or defective (cull) tree at least 10 inches in diameter at breast height and at least 6 feet tall. A hard snag is composed primarily of sound wood, generally merchantable. A soft snag is composed primarily of wood in advanced stages of decay and deterioration, generally not merchantable.

**Socioeconomic**—Pertaining to, or signifying the combination or interaction of, social and economic factors.

**Soil compaction**—An increase in bulk density (weight per unit volume) and a decrease in soil porosity resulting from applied loads, vibration, or pressure.

**Soil productivity**—Capacity or suitability of a soil, for establishment and growth of a specified crop or plant species, primarily through nutrient availability.

**Species**—(1) A group of individuals that have their major characteristics in common and are potentially interfertile. (2) The Endangered Species Act defines species as including any species or subspecies of plant or animal. Distinct populations of vertebrates also are considered to be species under the act.

**Species diversity**—The number, different kinds, and relative abundance of species.

**Stand (tree stand)**—An aggregation of trees occupying a specific area and sufficiently uniform in composition, age, arrangement, and condition so that it is distinguishable from the forest in adjoining areas.

**Stand condition**—A description of the physical properties of a stand such as crown closure or diameters.

**Stand-replacing event**—A disturbance that is severe enough over a large enough area (for example, 10 acres) to virtually eliminate an existing stand of trees and initiate a new stand.

Standards and guidelines—The primary instructions for land manager.

Standards address mandatory actions, while guidelines are recommended actions necessary to a land management decision.

**Stochastic**—Random, uncertain; involving a random variable.

**Stocked-stocking**—The degree an area of land is occupied by trees as measured by basal area or number of trees.

Stream order—A hydrologic system of stream classification. Each small unbranched

tributary is a first-order stream. Two first-order streams join to make a second-order stream. A third-order stream has only first-and second-order tributaries, and so forth.

Stream reach—An individual first-order stream or a segment of another stream that has beginning and ending points at a stream confluence. Reach end points are normally designated where a tributary confluence changes the channel character or order. Although reaches identified by the Bureau of Land Management are variable in length, they normally have a range of 0.5 to 1.5 miles in length unless channel character, confluence distribution, or management considerations require variance.

**Successional stage**—A stage or recognizable condition of a plant community that occurs during its development from bare ground to climax. For example, coniferous forests in the Blue Mountains progress through six recognized stages: grass-forb, shrub-seedling, pole-sapling, young, mature, and old growth.

**Structure**—The various horizontal and vertical physical elements of the forest.

**Stumpage**—The value of standing timber.

**Succession**—A series of dynamic changes by which one group of organisms succeeds another through stages leading to potential natural community or climax. An example is the development of series of plant communities (called seral stages) following a major disturbance.

**Suppression**—The action of extinguishing or confining a fire.

**Surface erosion**—A group of processes whereby soil materials are removed by running water, waves and currents, moving ice, or wind.

**Sustainable harvest**—A harvest volume that can be maintained through time without decline.

**Take**—Under the Endangered Species Act, take means to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect an animal, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct.

**Threatened species**—Those plant or animal species likely to become endangered species throughout all or a significant portion of their range within the foreseeable future. A plant or animal identified and defined in accordance with the 1973 Endangered Species Act and published in the Federal Register.

**Timber production**—The purposeful growing, tending, harvesting, and regeneration of regulated crops of trees to be cut into logs, bolts, or other round sections for industrial or consumer use other than for fuelwood.

**Unique ecosystems**—Ecosystems embracing special habitat features such as beaches and dunes, talus slopes, meadows, and wetlands.

**U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA)**—Federal land management agency whose main mission is multiple use of lands under its jurisdiction.

**U.S. Department of the Interior (USDI)**—Federal land management agency whose main mission is multiple use of lands under its jurisdiction.

**Viability**—The ability of a wildlife or plant population to maintain sufficient size so that it persists over time in spite of normal fluctuations in numbers; usually expressed as a probability of maintaining a specific population for a specified period.

**Viable population**—A wildlife or plant population that contains an adequate number of reproductive individuals appropriately distributed on the planning area to ensure the long-term existence of the species.

Water quality—The chemical, physical, and biological characteristics of water.

**Watershed**—The drainage basin contributing water, organic matter, dissolved nutrients, and sediments to a stream or lake.

**Watershed analysis**—A systematic procedure for characterizing watershed and ecological processes to meet specific management and social objectives. Watershed analysis is a stratum of ecosystem management planning applied to watersheds of about 20 to 200 square miles.

Watershed restoration—Improving current conditions of watersheds to restore degraded fish habitat and provide long-term protection to aquatic and riparian resources.

Well distributed—A geographic distribution of habitats that maintains a population throughout a planning area and allows for interaction of individuals through periodic interbreeding and colonization of unoccupied habitats.

Wetlands—Areas that are inundated by surface water or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances do or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that require saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction (Executive Order 11990). Wetlands generally include, but are not limited to, swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas.

**Wilderness**—Areas designated by Congressional action under the 1964 Wilderness Act. Wilderness is defined as undeveloped Federal land retaining its primeval character and influence without permanent improvements or human habitation. Wilderness areas are

protected and managed to preserve their natural conditions, which generally appear to have been affected primarily by the forces of nature, with the imprint of human activity substantially unnoticeable; have outstanding opportunities for solitude or for a primitive and confined type of recreation; include at least 5,000 acres or are of sufficient size to make practical their preservation, enjoyment, and use in an unimpaired condition; and may contain features of scientific, education, scenic, or historical value as well as ecologic and geologic interest.

**Wildfire**—Any wildland fire that is not a prescribed fire.

**Windfall**—Trees or parts of trees felled by high winds (see also Blowdown and Windthrow).

Windthrow—Synonymous with windfall, blowdown.

**Young stands**—Forest stands not yet mature, generally, less than 50 to 80 years old; typically 20 to 40 years old.

## References

**Agee, J.K. 1993**. Fire ecology of Pacific Northwest forests. Washington, DC: Island Press. 493 p.

**Agee, J.K. 2003**. Historical range of variability in eastern Cascades forests, Washington, USA. Landscape Ecology. 18: 725-740.

Alegria, J.; Folliard, L.; Lint, J.; Madsen, S.; Max, T.; Webb, L. 2002. Southwest Oregon inland survey assessment for marbled murrelets. 17 p. [Plus attachments]. Final Report. Portland OR: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. On file with: U.S. Department of

the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Oregon State Office, 2600 SE 98<sup>th</sup> Ave, Suite 100, Portland, OR 97266.

**Allen, T.F.H.; Hoekstra, T.W. 1992**. Toward an unified ecology. New York: Columbia University Press. 384 p.

**Andersson, F.; Birot, Y.; Päivinen, R., eds. 2004.** Towards the sustainable use of Europe's forests-forest ecosystem and landscape research: scientific challenges and opportunities. EFI Proceedings No. 49. Joensuu, Finland. 322 p.

Anthony, R.G.; Forsman, E.D.; Franklin, and others. [In press]. Status and trends in demography of northern spotted owls, 1985–2003. Wildlife Monographs. (there are about 20 authors so will leave as and others)

Armstrong, G.W.; Adamowicz, W.L.; Beck, J.A.; Cumming, S.G.; Schmiegelow, F.K.A. 2003. Coarse filter ecosystem management in a nonequilibrating forest. Forest Science. 49(2): 209-223.

**Baker, D.; Palmer, C.; Tolle, T. [In press]**. The Northwest Forest Plan—the first ten years (1994-2003): implentation monitoring: accomplishments and compliance with Plan requirements. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station.

**Barbour, R.J.; Marshall, D.D.; Lowell, E.C. 2003**. Managing for wood quality. In: Monserud R.A.; Haynes, R.W.; Johnson, A.C., eds. Compatible forest management. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers: 299-336. Chap. 11.

**Beissinger, S. 1995**. Population trends of the marbled murrelet projected from demographic analyses. In: Ralph, C.J.; Hunt, G.L.; Raphael, M.G.; Piatt, J.F., eds. Ecology and conservation of the marbled murrelet. Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-152. Albany, CA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station: 385-393.

**Benda, L.; Miller, D.; Andras, K. [In prep]**. A coupled landscape-riverine terrain characterization and analysis system. On file with: Gordon Reeves, Forest Sciences Laboratory, 3200 SW Jefferson, Corvallis, OR 97331.

Benda, L.; Poff, N.L.; Miller, D.; Dunne, T.; Reeves, G.; Pess, G.; Pollock, M. 2004.

The network dynamics hypothesis: how channel networks structure riverine habitats.

BioScience. 54: 413-428.

**Benda, L.E.; Bigalow, P.; Worsley, W. 2002**. Recruitment of in-stream large wood in old-growth and second-growth redwood forests, northern California, U.S.A. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 32: 1460-1477.

- **Benda, L.E.; Cundy, T.W. 1990**. Predicting deposition of debris flows in mountain channels. Canadian Geotechnical Journal. 27: 409-417.
- **Benda**, L.E.; **Dunne**, T. 1997a. Stochastic forcing of sediment supply to the channel networks from landsliding and debris flows. Water Resources Research. 33: 2849-2863.
- **Benda, L.E.; Dunne, T. 1997b**. Stochastic forcing of sediment routing and storage in channel networks. Water Resources Research. 33: 2865-2880.
  - **Benda, L.E.; Miller, D.; Bigelow, P.; Andras, K. 2003b**. Effects of post-wildfire erosion on channel environments, Boise River, Idaho. Forest Ecology and Management. 178: 105-119.
  - **Benda, L.E.; Miller, D.J.; Dunne, T.; Reeves, G.H.; Agee, J.K. 1998**. Dynamic landscape systems. In: Naiman, R.J.; Bilby, R.E., eds. River ecology and management: lessons from the Pacific Coastal ecoregion. New York: Springer: 261-288.
- Benda, L.E.; Veldhuisen, C.; Black, J. 2003a. Influence of debris flows on the morphological diversity of channels and valley floor, Olympic Peninsula, Washington.Geological Society of America Bulletin. 115: 1110-1121.

Beschta, R.L.; Rhodes, J.J.; Kauffmann, J.B.; Gresswell, R.E.; Minshall, G.W.; Karr, J.R.; Perry, D.A.; Hauer, F.R.; Frissell, C.A. 2004. Postfire management on forested public lands in the western United States. Conservation Biology. 18: 957-967.

**Bigley, R.E.; Franklin, J.F. 2004**. Habitat trends. In: Courtney, S.P.; Blakesley, J.A.; Bigley, R.E.; Cody, M.L.; Dumbacher, J.P.; Fleischer, R.C.; Franklin, A.B.; Franklin, J.F.; Gutiérrez, R.J.; Marzluff, J.M.; Sztukowski, L., eds. Scientific evaluation of the status of the Northern spotted owl. Portland OR: Sustainable Ecosystems Institute: 1-35. Chap. 6. http://www.sei.org/owl/finalreport/finalreport.htm.

**Bilby, R.E.; Bisson, P.A. 1998**. Function and distribution of large woody debris. In: Naiman, R.J.; Bilby, R.E., eds. River ecology and management: lessons from the Pacific Coastal ecoregion. New York: Springer: 324-346.

**Bilby, R.E.; Ward, J.W. 1989**. Changes in characteristics and functions of large woody debris with increasing size of streams in southwestern Washington. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. 118: 368-378.

Bisson, P.A.; Bilby, R.E.; Byrant, M.D.; Dolloff, C.A.; Grette, G.B.; House, R.A.;
Murphy, M.L.; Koski, K.V.; Sedell, J.R. 1987. Large woody debris in forested streams in the Pacific Northwest: past, present, and future. In: Salo, E.O.; Cundy, T.W., eds.
Streamside management and fishery interactions. Seattle, WA: Institute of Forest Resources. University of Washington: 143-190.

**Bisson, P.A.; Nielson, J.L.; Palmason, R.A.; Grove, L.E. 1982**. A system of naming habitat types in small streams, with examples of habitat utilization by salmonids during low stream flow. In: Armantrout, N.B., ed. Acquisition and utilization of aquatic habitat inventory information, symposium proceedings. Portland, OR: Western Division, American Fisheries Society: 62-73.

Bisson, P.A.; Rieman, B.E.; Luce, C.; Hessburg, P.F.; Lee, D.C.; Kershner, J.L.; Reeves, G.H.; Gresswell, R.E. 2003. Fire and aquatic ecosystems of the western USA: current knowledge and key questions. Forest Ecology and Management. 178: 213-229.

**Blake, J.G. 1982**. Influence of fire and logging on nonbreeding bird communities of ponderosa pine forests. Journal of Wildlife Management. 46: 404-415.

Blakesley, J.A.; La Haye, W.; Marzluff, J.M; Noon, B.R.; Courtney, S. 2004.

Demography. In: Courtney, S.P.; Blakesley, J.A.; Bigley, R.E.; Cody, M.L.; Dumbacher, J.P.; Fleischer, R.C.; Franklin, A.B.; Franklin, J.F.; Gutiérrez, R.J.; Marzluff, J.M.; Sztukowski, L., eds. Scientific evaluation of the status of the Northern spotted owl.

Portland OR: Sustainable Ecosystems Institute: 1-49. Chap. 8.

http://www.sei.org/owl/finalreport/finalreport.htm.

**Bolsinger, C.L.; Waddell, K.L. 1993**. Area of old-growth forests in California, Oregon, and Washington. Resour. Bull. PNW-RB-197 PNW-RB-197. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 26 p.

Bormann, B.T.; Cunningham, P.G.; Brookes, M.H.; Manning, V.W.; Collopy, M.W. 1994. Adaptive ecosystem management in the Pacific Northwest. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-341. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 22 p.

**Bormann, B.T.; Kiester, A.R. 2004**. Options forestry: acting on uncertainty. Journal of Forestry. 102: 22-27.

Bormann, B.T.; Martin, J.R.; Wagner, F.H.; Wood, G.; Alegria, J.; Cunningham, P.G.; Brookes, M.H.; Friesema, P.; Berg, J.; Henshaw, J. 1999. Adaptive management. In: Sexton, W.; Malk, A.J.; Szaro, R.; Johnson, N.C.; eds., Ecological stewardship: a common reference for ecosystem management. Oxford, United Kingdom: Elsevier: 505-534. Vol. 3.

**Boyce, M.S.; Irwin, L.L.; Barker, R. 2005.** Demographic meta-analysis: synthesizing vital rates for spotted owls. Journal of Applied Ecology. 42: 38-49.

Brosofske, K.D.; Chen, J.; Naiman, R.J.; Franklin, J.F. 1997. Harvesting effects on

microclimatic gradients from small streams to uPLANds in western Washington. Ecological Applications. 7: 1188-1200.

Brown, J.K.; Reinhardt, E.D.; Kramer, K.A. 2003. Coarse wood debris: managing benefits and fire hazard in the recovering forest. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-105.

Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 16 p.

**Brown, R.T.; Agee, J.K.; Franklin, J.F. 2004**. Forest restoration and fire: principles in the context of place. Conservation Biology. 18: 903-912.

**Buchko, A.A. 1994.** Conceptualization and measurement of environmental uncertainty: an assessment of the Miles & Snow perceived environmental uncertainty scale. Academy of Management Journal. 37: 410-425.

**Buffington, J.M.; Lisle, T.E.; Woodsmith, R.D.; Hilton, S. 2002**. Controls on the size and occurrence of pools in coarse-grained forest rivers. River Research and Applications. 18: 507-531.

**Burger, A.E. 2001**. Using radar to estimate populations and assess habitat associations of marbled murrelets. Journal of Wildlife Management. 65: 696–715.

**Burnett, K. 2004.** Personal communication. Research fisheries biologist. Pacific Northwest Research Station, Corvallis Forestry Sciences Laboratory, 3200 SW Jefferson, Corvallis, OR 97331.

Burnett, K.; Reeves, G.; Miller, D.; Clarke, S.; Christiansen, K.; Vance-Borland, K. 2003. A first step toward broad-scale identification of freshwater protected areas for Pacific salmon and trout in Oregon, U.S.A. In: Beumer, J.P.; Grant, A.; Smith, D.C., eds. Protected areas: what works best and how do we know? Proceedings of the World Congress on aquatic protected areas, Cairns, Australia, August 2002. Australian Society for Fish Biology: 144-154.

**Burnett, K.M. 2001**. Relationships among juvenile anadromous salmonids, their freshwater habitat, and landscape characteristics over multiple years and spatial scales in Elk River, Oregon. Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University. 244 p. Ph.D. Dissertation.

Burnett, K.M.; Christiansen, K.; Clarke, S.; Miller, D.J.; Reeves, G.H.; Vance-Borland, K. [In review]. Distribution of salmon habitat potential relative to land use and forest cover in a multi-ownership province. Ecological Applications.

Burnham, K.P.; Anderson, D.R.; White, G.C. 1996. Meta-analysis of vital rates of the northern spotted owl. Studies in Avian Biology. 17: 92-101.

Busch D.E.; Trexler, J.C., eds. 2003. Monitoring ecosystems: interdisciplinary

approaches for valuating ecoregional initiatives. Washington, DC: Island Press. 447 p.

Camp, A.; Oliver, C.; Hessburg, P.; Everett, R. 1997. Predicting late-successional fire refugia pre-dating European settlement in the Wenatchee Mountains. Forest Ecology and Management. 95: 63-77.

Caplow, T.; Hicks, L.; Wattenburg, B.J. 2000. The first measured century: an illustrated guide to trends in America, 1900–2000. Washington, DC: American Enterprise Institute Press. 300 p.

Carson, R. 1962. Silent spring. Boston: Houghton Mifflin. 368 p.

Castellano, M.; Smith, J.E.; O'Dell, T.; Cazares, E.; Nugent, S. 1999. Handbook to Strategy 1 fungal species in the Northwest Forest Plan. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-476. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 195 p.

Castellano, M.A.; Cazares, E.; Fondrick, B.; Dreisbach, T. 2003. Handbook to additional fungal species of special concern in the Northwest Forest Plan. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-572. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 144 p.

**Chamberlain, T.C. 1897.** The method of multiple hypotheses. Journal of Geology. 5:

Charnley, S.; Donoghue, E.; Stuart, C.; Dillingham, C.; Buttolph, L.; Kay, W.; McLain, R.; Moseley, C.; Phillips, R. [In press a]. Northwest Forest Plan—the first 10 years: socioeconomic monitoring results. Key findings. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-649. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. xx p. Vol. 1.

Charnley, S.; Donoghue, E.; Stuart, C.; Dillingham, C.; Buttolph, L.; Kay, W.; McLain, R.; Moseley, C.; Phillips, R. [In press b]. Northwest Forest Plan—the first ten years: socioeconomic monitoring results. Rural communities and economics. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-649. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. xx p. Vol 3.

Chase, M.K.; Kristan, W.B., III; Lynam, A.J.; Price, M.V.; Rotenberry, J.T. 2000. Single species as indicators of species richness and composition in California coastal sage scrub birds and small mammals. Conservation Biology. 14(2): 474-487.

**Chen, J. 1991**. Edge effects: microclimatic pattern and biological responses in old-growth Douglas-fir forests. Seattle, WA: University of Washington. 174 p. Ph.D. dissertation.

Christensen, H.H.; Raettig, T.L.; Sommers, P., tech eds. 1999. Northwest Forest Plan: outcomes and lessons learned from the Northwest economic adjustment initiative: Proceedings of a forum; 1997 July 29-30; Portland, OR. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-484. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 98 p.

Cissel, J.H.; Swanson, F.J.; Grant, G.E.; Olson, D.H.; Gregory, S.V.; Garmen, S.L.; Ashkenas, L.R.; Hunter, M.G.; Kertis, J.A.; Mayo, J.H.; McSwain, M.D.; Sweetland, S.G.; Swindle, K.A.; Wallin, D.O. 1998. A landscape plan based on historical fire regimes for a managed forest ecosystem: the Augusta Creek study. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR 422. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 82 p.

Cissel, J.H.; Swanson, F.J.; Weisberg, P.J. 1999. Landscape management using historical fire regimes: Blue River, Oregon. Ecological Applications. 9(4): 1217-1231.

Clark, R.; Stankey, G.H.; Shannon, M.A., comps. 1993. Social assessment of the options. In: Forest ecosystem management: an ecological, economic, and social assessment [FEMAT]. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture; U.S. Department of the Interior [and others]: VII-1-VII-129 [plus appendicies].

Clark, R.N.; Stankey, G.H.; Shannon, M.A. 1999. The social component of the Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team [FEMAT]. In: Cordell, H.K.; Bergstrom, J.C.,

eds. Integrating social sciences with ecosystem management: human dimensions in assessment, policy, and management. Champaign, IL: Sagamore Publishing: 239-264.

Clarke, J.N.; McCool, D. 1985. Staking out the terrain. Power differentials among natural resource management agencies. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press. 189 p.

Classification and Multiple Use Act of 1964 (CMU). Act of September 19, 1964, 43 USC 1411-1418.

Clean Air Act of 1967; PL Chap 360, 69 Stat. 322.

**Clemen, R.T. 1996**. Making hard decisions: an introduction to decision analysis. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Belmont, CA: Duxbury Press. 664 p.

Cohen, W.B.; Spies, T.A.; Alig, R.J.; Oetter, D.R.; Maiersperger, T.K.; Fiorella, M. 2002. Characterizing 23 years (1972-1995) of stand replacement disturbance in western Oregon forests with Landsat imagery. Ecosystems 5: 122-137.

Concannon, J.A.; Shafer, C.L.; DeVelice, R.L.; Sauvajot, R.M.; Boudreau, S.L.; Demeo, T.E.; Dryden. J. 1999. Describing landscape diversity: a fundamental tool for landscape management. In: Szaro, R.C.; Johnson, N.C.; Sexton, W.T.; Malick, A.J., eds. Ecological

stewardship: a common reference for ecosystem management. Oxford, United Kingdom: Elsevier Science, Ltd.: 195-218. Vol. 2.

Courtney, S.P.; Blakesley, J.A.; Bigley, R.E.; Cody, M.L.; Dumbacher, J.P.; Fleischer, R.C.; Franklin, A.B.; Franklin, J.F.; Gutiérrez, R.J.; Marzluff, J.M.; Sztukowski, L., eds. 2004. Scientific evaluation of the status of the northern spotted owl. Portland, OR: Sustainable Ecosystems Institute. Available from: <a href="http://sei.org/owl/finalreport/finalreport.htm">http://sei.org/owl/finalreport/finalreport.htm</a>.

Crespin, B.M. 2004. Northwest Forest Plan tribal monitoring program interpretive report. 36 p. On file with: Richard Haynes, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland Forestry Sciences Laboratory, P.O. Box 3890, Portland, OR 97208.

Cutler, R.; Edwards, T.C., Jr.; Alegria, J.; McKenzie, D. 2002. A sample design framework for survey and manage species under the Northwest Forest Plan. In: Proceedings of the section on statistics and environment [CD Rom]. 2001 Joint Statistical Meeting, American Statistical Association. ISBN 1-931586-13-6. St Louis, MO: Mira Digital Publishing. [Irregular pagination].

**Daly, H. 1996.** Beyond growth: the economics of sustainable development. Boston, MA: Beacon Press. 253 p.

Davis, R.; Lint, J. [In press]. Habitat status and trend. In: Lint, J., tech. coord.

Northwest forest plan—the first 10 years (1994-2003): status and trend of northern spotted owl populations and habitat. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-648. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station: xx-xx.

**Dean, J.W., Jr.; Sharfman, M.P. 1996**. Does decision process matter? A study of strategic decision-making effectiveness. Academy of Management Journal. 39(2): 368-396.

**DeBano**, **L.F.**; **Neary**, **D.G.**; **Elliott. P.F. 1998**. Fire's effect on ecosystems. New York: Willey. 333 p.

**DeForest, C. 1999.** Watershed restoration, jobs-in-the-woods, and community assistance: Redwood National Park precedents and the Clinton Northwest Forest Plan. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-449. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 31 p.

**DeLong, D.C., Jr. 1996.** Defining biodiversity. Wildlife Society Bulletin. 24(4): 738-749.

**Dieterich, M.; Anderson, N.H. 1998**. Dynamics of abiotic parameters, solute removal sediment retention in summer-dry headwater streams of western Oregon. Hydrobilogia. 379: 1-15.

**Dieterich, M.; Anderson, N.H. 2000**. The invertebrate fauna of summer-dry streams in western Oregon. Archive fur Hydrobiologie. 147: 273-295.

**Doak, S.C.; Kusel, J. 1996.** Well-being in forest-dependent communities. Part 2: A social assessment. In: Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: final report to Congress—assessments and scientific basis for management options. Davis, CA: University of California, Centers for Water and Wildland Resources: 375-402. Vol 2. Part 2.

**Dobson, A.P.; Rodriguez, J.P.; Roberts, W.M. 2001.** Synoptic tinkering: integrating strategies for large-scale conservation. Ecological Applications. 11(4): 1019-1026.

**Dolloff, C.A.; Flebbe, P.A.; Owen, M.W. 1994**. Fish habitat and fish populations in a southern Appalachian watershed before and after Hurrican Hugo. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. 123: 668-678.

**Donoghue, E.M. 2003.** Delimiting communities in the Pacific Northwest. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-570. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 51 p.

**Donoghue, E.M.; Haynes, R.W. 2002.** Assessing the viability and adaptability of Oregon communities. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-549. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 11 p.

**Dunham, J.B.; Young, M.; Gresswell, R.; Rieman, B.E. 2003**. Effects of fire on fish populations: landscape perspectives on persistence of native fishes and non-native fish invasions. Forest Ecology and Management. 178: 183-196.

Edwards, T.C.; Cutler, D.R.; Geiser, L.; Alegria, J.; McKenzie, D. 2004. Assessing rarity of species with low detectability: lichens in Pacific Northwest forests. Ecological Applications. 14(2): 414-424.

Ehrlich, P. 1968. The population bomb. New York: Ballantine. 223 p.

**Endangered Species Act of 1973 [ESA];** 16 U.S.C. 1531-1536, 1538-1540.

Evans Mack, D.; Ritchie, W.P.; Nelson, S.; Kuo-Harrison, E.; Harrison, P.; Hamer, T.E., eds. 2003. Methods for surveying marbled murrelets in forests: a revised protocol for land management and research. Pacific Seabird Group.

http://www.pacificseabirdgroup.org/revMAMU\_ISP\_Jan\_03.pdf.

Everest, F.H.; Reeves, G.H. [In press]. Riparian and aquatic habitats in the Pacific Northwest and southeast Alaska: management history and alternative management strategies. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-xxx. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station

**Everett, R.L.; Schellhass, R.; Keenum, D.; Spurbeck, D.; Ohlson, P. 2000.** Fire history in the ponderosa pine/Douglas-fir forests on the east slope of the Washington Cascades. Forest Ecology and Management. 129: 207-225.

**Fausch, K.D.; Northcote, T.G. 1992**. Large woody debris and salmonid habitat in a small coastal British Columbia stream. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 49: 682-693.

**Fausch, K.D.; Torgersen, C.E.; Baxter, C.V.; Li, H.W. 2002**. Landscapes to riverscapes: bridging the gap between research and conservation of stream fishes. BioScience. 52: 483-498.

**Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 [FACA]**; Act of October 6, 1972; Stat. 77-; 5 U.S.C. Appendix 2.

**Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 [FLPMA]**. Act of October 21, 1976. P.L. 94-579, 90 Stat. 2743, as amended; 43 U.S.C. 1701 (note).

Federal Water Quality Act [Clean Water Act] of 1965; 33 U.S.C. 1251-1387. PL 89-234.

**Fedkiw, J. 1998**. Managing multiple uses on National Forests, 1905-1995: a 90-year learning experience and it isn't finished yet. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 284 p.

**Fisher, S.G. 1997**. Creativity, idea generation, and the functional morphology of streams. Journal of the North American Benthological Society. 16: 305-318.

**Flather, C.H.; Wilson, K.R.; Dean, D.J.; McComb, W.C. 1997.** Identifying gaps in conservation networks: of indicators and uncertainty in geographic-based analyses. Ecological Application. 7(2): 531-542.

Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team [FEMAT]. 1993. Forest ecosystem management: an ecological, economic, and social assessment. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture; U.S. Department of the Interior [and others]. [Irregular pagination].

**Forsman, E.D.; Anthony, R.G.; Zabel, C.J. 2004.** Distribution and abundance of red tree voles in Oregon based on occurrence in pellets of northern spotted owls. Northwest Science. 78(4): 294-302.

Franklin, A.B.; Anderson, D.R.; Gutierrez, R.J.; Burnham, K.P. 2000. Climate, habitat quality, and fitness in northern spotted owl populations in northwestern California. Ecological Monographs. 70(4): 539–590.

Franklin, J.F.; Bormann, B.T.; Meslow, E.C.; Reeves, G.H. 2001. Report of the independent review team for the Eagle Sale. [online] Available: 
{http://www.fs.fed.us/r6/mthood/documents/current/EagleReview.pdf}

**Franklin, J.F.; Formann, R.T.T. 1987**. Creating landscape pattern by forest cutting: ecological consequences and principles. Landscape Ecology. 1: 5-18.

**Franklin, J.F.; Hemstrom, M.A. 1981**. Aspects of succession in the coniferous forests of the Pacific Northwest. In: West, D.C.; Shugart, H.H.; Botkin, D.B., eds. Forest succession: concepts and application. New York: Springer-Verlag: 212-239.

Franklin, J.F.; Spies, T.A.; Van Pelt, R.; Carey, A.B.; Thornburgh, D.A.; Lindenmayer, D.B.; Harmon, M.E.; Keeton, W.S.; Shaw, D.C.; Bible, K.; Chen, J. 2002. Disturbances and structural development of natural forest ecosystems with silvicultural implications, using Douglas-fir as an example. Forest Ecology and Management. 155: 399-423.

**Frelich, L.L. 2002.** Forest dynamics and disturbance regimes: studies from temperate evergreen-deciduous forests. Cambridge University Press. 266 p.

**Frest, T.J.; Johannes, E.J. 1999.** Field guide to survey and manage freshwater mollusk species. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service

Regional Ecosystem Office; U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management Oregon State Office. 117 p.

Frissell, C.A.; Liss, W.J.; Warren, C.E.; Hurley, M.D. 1986. A hierarchial framework for stream habitat classification: viewing streams in a watershed context. Environmental Management. 10: 199-214.

**Fulton, J.D.; LaBrasseur, R.J. 1985**. Interannual shifting of the Subartic Boundary and some of the biotic effects on juvenile salmonids. In: Wooster, W.S.; Fluharty, D.L., eds. El Nino effects in the eastern subartic Pacific. Seattle, WA: Washington Sea Grant Program: 237-247.

**Furniss, M.J.; Roelofs, T.D.; Yee, C.S. 1991**. Road construction and maintenance. American Fisheries Society Special Publication. 19: 297-324.

**Galbraith, J.R.; Kazanjian, R.K. 1986**. Strategy implementation: structure, systems, and process. 2nd edition. St. Paul, MN: West Publishing Company. 187 p.

Gallo, K.; Lanigan, S.H.; Eldred, P.; Gordon, S.N.; Moyer, C. [In press]. Northwest Forest Plan—the first ten years (1994-2003): preliminary assessment of the conditions of watersheds. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-647. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. xx p.

Garman, S.L.; Cissel, J.H.; Mayo, J.H. 2003. Accelerating development of late-successional conditions in young managed Douglas-fir stands: a simulation study. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-557. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 119 p.

Gomi, T.; Sidle, R.C.; Richardson, J.S. 2002. Understanding processes and downstream linkages of headwater streams. BioScience. 52: 905-916.

Gosz, J.R.; Asher, J.; Holder, B.; Knight, R.; Naiman, R.; Raines, G.; Stine, P.; Wigley,
T.B. 1999. An ecosystem approach for understanding landscape diversity. In: Szaro,
R.C.; Johnson, N.C.; Sexton, W.T.; Malick, A.J., eds. Ecological stewardship: a common reference for ecosystem management. Oxford, United Kingdom: Elsevier Science, Ltd.: 157-194. Vol. 2.

**Graham, R.T.; McCaffery, S.; Jain, T.B., eds. 2004**. Science basis for changing forest structure to modify wildfire behavior and severity. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 43 p.

**Grant, G.E. 1990**. Hydrologic, geomorphic, and aquatic habitat implications for old and new forestry. In: Pearson, A.F.; Challenger, D.A., eds. Proceedings of the symposium forest managed and wild: differences and consequences. Vancouver, British Columbia: University of British Columbia: 35-53.

**Gresswell, R.E. 1999**. Fire and aquatic ecosystems in forested biomes of North America. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. 128: 193-221.

**Grette, G.B. 1985**. The abundance and role of large organic debris in juvenile salmonid habitat in streams in second growth and unlogged forests. Seattle, WA:University of Washington. M.Sc. thesis.

**Gunderson, L. 1999a**. Resilience, flexibility and adaptive management—antidotes for spurious certitude? Conservation Ecology. 3(1)7 [online]

URL:http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol3/iss1/art7/ (March 2005).

**Gunderson, L. 1999b**. Stepping back: assessing for understanding in complex regional systems. In: Johnson, K.N.; Swanson, F.; Herring, M.; Greene, S., eds. Bioregional assessments: science at the crossroads of management and policy. Washington DC: Island Press: 27-40.

Gutiérrez, R.J.; Cody, M.L.; Courtney, S.P.; Kennedy, D. 2004. Assessment of the potential threat of the northern barred owl. In: Courtney, S.P.; Blakesley, J.A.; Bigley, R.E.; Cody, M.L.; Dumbacher, J.P.; Fleischer, R.C.; Franklin, A.B.; Franklin, J.F.; Gutiérrez, R.J.; Marzluff, J.M.; Sztukowski, L., eds. Scientific evaluation of the status of the Northern spotted owl. Portland OR: Sustainable Ecosystems Institute: 1-35. Chap. 7. http://www.sei.org/owl/finalreport/finalreport.htm.

**Haim, A.; Izhaki, I. 1994**. Changes in rodent community during recovery from fire: relevance to conservation. Biodiversity Conservation. 3: 573-585.

**Halpern, C.B.; Spies, T.A. 1995**. Plant species diversity in natural and managed forests of the Pacific Northwest. Ecological Applications. 5: 913-934.

**Hansen, A.J.; Urban, D.L. 1992**. Avian response to landscape patterns: the role of species life histories. Landscape Ecology. 7: 163-180.

Hardin, G. 1968. The tragedy of the commons. Science. 162: 1243-1248.

**Hargrove, E.C. 1994**. Environmental therapeutic nihilism. In: Costanza, R; Norton, B.G.; Haskell, B.D., eds. Ecosystem health: new goals for environmental management. Washington, DC: Island Press: 124-131.

Harmon, M.E., Franklin, J.F.; Swanson, F.J.; Sollins, P.; Gregory, S.V.; Lattin, J.D.;
Anderson, N.H.; Cline, S.P.; Aumen, N.G.; Sedell, J.R.; Lienkaemper, G.W.;
Cromack, K., Jr.; Cummins, K.W. 1986. Ecology of coarse woody debris in temperate streams. Advances in Ecology. 15: 133-302.

Harris, C.C.; McLaughlin, W.; Brown, G.; Decker, D. 2000. Rural communities in the inland Northwest: an assessment of small communities in the interior and upper Columbia River basins. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-477. Portland, OR: U.S. Department

of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 120 p. (Quigley, T.M., ed.; Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project: scientific assessment).

**Harris, L.D. 1984**. The fragmented forest: island biogeography theory and the preservation of biotic diversity. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press. 211 p.

**Harrison, S.; Quinn, J.F. 1989**. Correlated environments and the persistence of metapopulations. Oikos. 56: 293-298.

**Harvey, B.C.; Nakamoto, R.J. 1998.** The influence of large wood debris on retention, immigration, and growth of coastal cutthroat trout (<u>Oncorhynchus clarkii clarkii</u>) in stream pools. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 55: 1902-1908.

Harvey, B.C.; Nakamoto, R.J.; White, I.L. 1999. Influence of large woody debris and bankfull flood on movement of adult resident coastal trout (<u>Oncorhynchus clarki</u>) during fall and winter. Canandian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 56: 2161-2166.

Hawley, R.C. 1921. The practice of silviculture. New York: John Wiley and Sons. 354 p.

**Haynes, R.; Cleaves, D. 1999.** Uncertainty, risk, and ecosystem management. In: Sexton, W.T.; Malk, A.J.; Szaro, R.C.; Johnson, N.C., eds. Ecological stewardship. A

common reference for ecosystem management. Elsevier Science: Kidlington, Oxford, United Kingdom: 413-429. Vol. 3.

**Haynes, R.W. 1986.** Inventory and value of old-growth in the Douglas-fir region. Res. Note. PNW-437. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 18 p.

**Haynes, R.W., tech. coord. 2003.** An analysis of the timber situation in the United States: 1952-2050. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-560. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 254 p.

**Haynes, R.W.; Adams, D.M.; Mills, J.R. 2003.** Contemporary management regimes in the Pacific Northwest: balancing biophysical and economic concerns. In: Monserud, R.A.; Haynes, R.W.; Johnson, A.C., eds. Compatible forest management. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers: 267-296. Chap. 10.

**Haynes, R.W.; Fight, R.D. 2004.** Reconsidering price projections for selected grades of Douglas-fir, coast hem-fir, inland hem-fir, and ponderosa pine lumber. Res. Pap. PNW-RP-621. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 31 p.

Haynes, R.W.; McCool, S.; Horne, A.; Birchfield [Burchfield], J. 1996. Natural resource management and community well-being. Wildlife Society Bulletin. 24(2): 222-226.

**Haynes, R.W.; Perez, G.E., tech. eds. 2001.** Northwest Forest Plan research synthesis. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-498. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 130 p.

**Hays, S.P. 1959**. Conservation and the gospel of efficiency: the progressive conservation movement, 1890-1920. Cambridge: Harvard University Press. 297 p.

Healthy Forest Restoration Act [HFRA] 2003. Act of December 3, 2003. HR 1904.

**Heller, D. 2002.** A new paradigm for salmon and watershed restoration. Unpublished report. 7 p. On file with: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, Natural Resources, P.O. Box 3890, Portland, OR 97208.

**Heller, D.; McCammon, B.; Roper, B. 2004**. Aquatic strategies in Region 6–are they working. Unpublished report. On file with: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, Natural Resources, P.O. Box 3890, Portland, OR 97208.

Hemstrom, M.; Spies, T.; Palmer, C.; Kiester, R.; Teply, J.; McDonald, P.; Warbington, R. 1998. Late-successional and old-growth forest effectiveness monitoring plan for the Northwest Forest Plan. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-438. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 37 p.

Hessburg, P.F.; Salter, R.B.; James, K.M. 2005. Evidence for mixed severity fires in premanagement era dry forests of the Inland Northwest, USA. In: Taylor, L.; Zelnick, J.; Cadwallader, S.; Hughes, B., eds. Mixed severity fire regimes: ecology and management. Symposium proceedings. Pullman, WA: Washington State University Extension: 89-104.

**Hobbs, R.J.; Huenneke, L.F. 1992**. Disturbance, diversity, and invasion: implications for conservations. Conservation Biology. 6: 324-337.

**Hogan, D.L.; Bird, S.A.; Rice, S. 1998**. Stream channel morphology and recovery processes. In: Hogan, D.L.; Tschaplinski, P.J.; Chatwin, S., eds. Carnation Creek and Queen Charlotte Islands fish/forestry workshop: applying 20 years of coast research to management solutions. Land management handbook. Victoria, British Columbia: Crown Publications, Inc.: 77-96.

**Holling, C.S. 1978.** Adaptive environmental assessment and management. London: John Wiley. 377 p.

**Holling, C.S. 1992**. Cross-scale morphology, geometry, and dynamics of ecosystems. Ecological Monographs. 62: 447-502.

**Holmes, R. 2005.** Personal communication. Regional botanist. Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region (R6), 333 SW First Ave, Portland OR 97204.

**Holthausen, R.S. 2004.** Personal communication. National Wildlife Ecologist, U.S. Forest Service Washington Office, 2500 S. Pine Knoll, Flagstaff, AZ 86001.

Holthausen, R.S.; Raphael, M.G.; McKelvey, K.S.; Forsman, E.D.; Starkey, E.E.; Seaman, D.E. 1995. The contribution of federal and nonfederal habitats to persistence of the northern spotted owl on the Olympic Peninsula, Washington. Report of the reanalysis team. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-352. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 76 p.

Hooper, D.U.; Chapin, F.S.; Ewel, J.J.; Hector, A.; Inchausti, P.; Lavorel, S.; Lawton, J.H.; Lodge, D.M.; Loreau, M.; Naeem, S.; Schmid, B.; Setälä, H.; Symstad, A.J.; Vandermeer, J.; Wardle, D.A. 2005. Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: a concensus of current knowledge. Ecological Monographs. 75(1): 3-35.

**Howard, J.L. 2003**. U.S. timber production, trade, consumption, and price statistics 1965 to 2002. Res. Pap. FPL-RP-615. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 90 p.

**Howard, R.A.; Matheson, J. 1981.** Readings on the principles and applications of decision analysis. Menlo Park, CA: Strategic Decisions Group. 955 p.

**Hubble, S.P. 2001.** The unified theory of biodiversity and biogeography. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. 375 p.

Hunter, J.E.; Schmidt, K.N.; Stauffer, H.B.; Miller, S.L.; Ralph, C.J.; Roberts, L. 1998. Status of the marbled murrelet in the inner north coast ranges of California.

Northwestern Naturalist. 79(3): 92-103.

Independent Multidisciplinary Scientific Team. 1999. Recovery of wild salmonids in western Oregon forests: Oregon Forest Practices Act rules and the measures in the Oregon Plan for salmon and watersheds. Tech. Rep. 1999-1 to the Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds. Salem, OR: Governor's Natural Resource Office. 99 p.

**International Union for Conservation of Nature [IUCN]. 1994**. Guidelines for protected area management categories. Gland, Switzerland, and Cambridge, United Kingdom. 261 p.

**Irwin, L.L.; Rock, D.F.; Miller G.P. 2000**. Stand structures used by northern spotted owls in managed forests. Journal of Raptor Research. 34(3): 175-186.

**Irwin, L.L.; Thomas, J.W. 2002**. Policy conflicts relative to managing fire-adapted forests on federal lands: the case of the northern spotted owl. Portland, OR: Oregon Forest Resources Institute. 10 p.

**Ishii, H.; Ford, E.D. 2001**. The role of epicormic shoot production in maintaining foliage in old-growth <u>Pseudostuga menziesii</u> (Douglas-fir). Canadian Journal of Botany. 79: 251-264.

**Johnson, B.L.; Richardson, W.B.; Naimo, T.J. 1995**. Past, present, and future concepts in large river ecology. BioScience. 45: 134-141.

**Johnson, D.; Walck, C. 2004**. Integrating sustainability into corporate management systems. Journal of Forestry. 102(5): 32-39.

Johnson, K.N.; Franklin, J.F.; Thomas, J.W.; Gordon, J. 1991. Alternatives for management of late-successional forests of the Pacific Northwest. A report to the Agriculture Committee and the Merchant Marine Fisheries Committee of the U.S. House of Representatives. 59 p.

Johnson, K.N.; Swanson, F.; Herring, M.; Greene, S. 1999. Bioregional assessments:

science at the crossroads of management and policy. Washington, DC: Island Press. 398 p.

Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and the Ocean [JISAO]. 1999.

Impacts of climate variability and change in the Pacific Northwest. ISAO Climate Impact

Group. Contribution 75. Seattle, WA: University of Washington. 110 p.

**Kaufman, H. 1960**. The forest ranger: a study in administrative behavior. Washington, DC: Resources for the Future. 259 p.

**Keane, R.E.; Parsons, R.A.; Hessberg, P.F. 2002**. Estimating historical range and variation of landscape patch dynamics: limitations of the simulation approach. Ecological Modeling. 151: 29-49.

**Keeney, R.L.; Raiffa, H. 1976.** Decision with multiple objectives. New York: Wiley. 569 p.

**Keeton, W.S.; Franklin, J.F.; Mote, P.W. [In press].** Climate variability, climate change, and forest ecosystems in the Pacific Northwest. In: Miles, E.L.; Snover, A.K.; and the Climate Impacts Group, eds. Rhythms of change: an integrated assessment of climate impacts on the Pacific Northwest. Boston, MA: MIT Press. Chap. 6.

**Keller, E.A.; Swanson, F.J. 1979**. Effects of large organic material on channel form and fluvial processes. Earth Surface Processes. 4: 361-380.

Kelly, E.G.; Forsman, E.D.; Anthony, R.G. 2003. Are barred owls displacing spotted owls? Condor. 105: 45-53.

**Kelsey, K.A.; West, S.D. 1998**. Riparian wildlife. In: Naiman, R.J.; Bilby, R.E., eds. River ecology and management: lessons from the Pacific coastal ecoregion. New York: Springer-Verlag: 235-260.

**Kennedy, J.J.; Haynes, R.W.; Zhou, X. 2005.** Line officers' views on stated USDA Forest Service values and the agency reward system. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-632. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 72 p.

**Kiffney, P.M.; Richardson, J.S.; Feller, M.C. 2000**. Fluvial and epilithic organic material dynamics of headwater streams of southwestern British Columbia, Canada. Archive fur Hydrobiologie. 148: 109-129.

**Kintsch, J.A.; Urban, D.L. 2002.** Focal species, community representation, and physical proxies as conservation strategies: a case study in the Amphibolite Mountains, North Carolina, U.S.A. Conservation Biology. 16(4): 936-947.

Kriebel, D.; Tickner, J.; Epstein, P.; Lemons, J.; Levins, R.; Loechler, E.L.; Quinn,
M.; Rudel, R.; Schettler, T.; Stoto, M. 2001. The precautionary principle in
environmental science. Environmental Health Perspectives. 109: 871-876.

**Kusel, J. 1996.** Well-being in forest-dependent communities. Part I: A new approach. In: Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: final report to Congress—assessments and scientific basis for management options. Davis, CA: University of California, Centers for Water and Wildland Resources: 361-374. Vol 2.

**Kusel, J., Principle investigator. 2002.** Assessment of the Northwest Economic Adjustment Initiative. Taylorsville, CA: Forest Community Research. 96 p. [Plus appendicies].

Lancaster, S.T.; Hayes, S.K.; Grant, G.E. 2003. Effects of wood on debris flow runout in small mountain watersheds. Water Resources Research. 39:1168, doi, 10 1029/2001 WR001227.

**Lande, R. 1991.** Risks of population extinction from demographic and environmental stochasticity and random catastrophes. American Naturalist. 142: 911-927.

Landres, P.B.; Morgan, P.; Swanson, F.J. 1999. Overview of the use of natural variability concepts in managing ecological systems. Ecological Applications. 9: 1179-1188.

Lawler, J.J.; White, D.; Sifneos, J.C.; Master, L.L. 2003. Rare species and the use of indicator groups for conservation planning. Conservation Biology. 17(3): 875-882.

**Lawson, P.W. 1993**. Cycles in ocean productivity, trends in habitat quality, and restoration of salmon runs in Oregon. Fisheries. 18(8): 6-10.

Lee, D.C.; Bradshaw, G.A. [In prep]. Making monitoring work for managers: thoughts on a conceptual framework for improved monitoring within large-scale ecosystem management efforts. Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-GTR. Albany, CA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station.

**Lee, K.N. 1993**. Compass and gyroscope: integrating science and politics for the environment. Washington, DC: Island Press. 243 p.

**Lemons, J. 1996.** Scientific uncertainty and environmental problem solving. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell Science. x+433 p.

**Lesher, R.D. 2005.** An environmental gradient model predicts the spatial distribution of potential habitat for <u>Hypogymnia duplicata</u> in the Cascade Mountains of northwestern Washington. Seattle, WA: University of Washington. Ph.D. dissertation.

**Levin, S. 1974**. Dispersion and population interactions. American Naturalist. 108: 207-228.

Lindenmayer, D.B.; Foster, D.R.; Franklin, J.F.; Hunter, M.L.; Noss, R.F.; Schmiegelow, F.A.; Perry, D. 2004. Salvage harvesting policies after natural disturbance. Science. 303: 1303.

**Lindenmeyer, D.B.; Franklin, J. F. 2002**. Conserving forest biodiversity: a comprehensive multiscale approach. Washington, DC: Island Press. 351 p.

Lint, J.; Noon, B.; Anthony, R.; Forsman, E.; Raphael, M.; Collopy, M.; Starkey, E. 1999. Northern spotted owl effectiveness monitoring plan for the Northwest Forest Plan. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-440. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 43 p.

Lint, J.B., tech. coord. [In press]. Northwest Forest Plan—the first 10 Years (1994-2003): status and trend of northern spotted owl populations and habitat. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-648. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. Chap 7.

**Luce, C.H. [In press].** Fire effects on runoff generation processes. In: Anderson, M.G., ed. Encyclopedia of hydrological sciences. Chichester, England: John Wiley and Sons.

Ludwig, D.; Hilborn, R.; Walters, C. 1993. Uncertainty, resource exploitation and conservation: lessons from history. Science. 260: 17, 36.

Luginbuhl, J.M.; Marzluff, J.M.; Bradley, J.E.; Raphael, M.G.; Varland, D.E. 2001. Corvid survey techniques and the relationship between corvid relative abundance and nest predation. Journal of Field Ornithology. 72: 556–572.

Lugo, A.E.; Baron, J.S.; Frost, T.P.; Cundy, T.W.; Dittberner, P. 1999. Ecosystem processes and functioning. In: Szaro, R.C.; Johnson, N.C.; Sexton, W.T.; Malick, A.J., eds. Ecological stewardship: a common reference for ecosystem management. Oxford, United Kingdom: Elsevier Science, Ltd.: 219-254. Vol. 2.

Lynch, K.A.; McLain, R.J. 2003. Access, labor, and wild floral greens management in Western Washington forests. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-585. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 61 p.

Madsen S.; Evans, D.; Hamer, T.; Henson, P.; Miller, S.; Nelson, S.K.; Roby, D.; Stapanian, M. 1999. Marbled murrelet effectiveness monitoring plan for the Northwest Forest Plan. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-439. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 51 p.

**Manley, I.A.; Nelson, S.K. 1999**. Habitat characteristics associated with nest success and predation at marbled murrelet nest trees. Pacific Seabirds. 26: 40.

Mantua, N.J.; Hare, S.R.; Zhang, Y.; Wallace, J.M.; Francis, R.C. 1997. A Pacific interdecadal climate oscillation with impacts on salmon production. Bulletin of the American Meterological Society. 78: 1069-1079.

Marcot, B.G. [Submitted]. Characterizing species at risk I: modeling rare species under the Northwest Forest Plan. Ecology and Society. On file with: Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland Forestry Sciences Laboratory, 620 SW Main, Portland, OR 97205.

Marcot, B.G. 2003. Statistical analysis of step 3 voting patterns—annual species review 2003. 8 p. Internal report 27 October 2003 for Regional Ecosystem Office, Survey and Manage Species Program, Northwest Forest Plan. On file with: Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, Regional Ecosystem Office, P.O. Box 38990, Portland OR 97208.

Marcot, B.G., Hohenlohe, P.A.; Morey, S.; Holmes, R.; Molina, R.; Turley, M.; Huff, M.; Laurence, J. [Submitted]. Characterizing species at risk II: decision modeling under the Northwest Forest Plan, USA. Ecology and Society. On file with: Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland Forestry Sciences Laboratory, 620 SW Main, Portland, OR 97205.

Marcot, B.G.; Turley, M. 2003. Statistical analysis of Step 3 voting patterns -- Annual Species Review 2002. 20 p. Internal report 17 October 2002, updated 24 January 2003

from December 2002 Panels for Regional Ecosystem Office, Survey and Manage Species Program, Northwest Forest Plan. On file with: Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, Regional Ecosystem Office, P.O. Box 38990, Portland OR 97208.

**Marsh, G.P. 1864.** Man and nature, or physical geography as modified by human action. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. 472 p.

Martin, D.J.; Benda, L.E. 2001. Patterns of instream wood recruitment and transport at the watershed scale. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. 130: 940-958.

Marzluff, J.M.; Raphael, M.G.; Sallabanks, R. 2000. Understanding the effects of forest management on avian species. Wildlife Society Bulletin. 28: 1132–1143.

May, C.L. 2002. Debris flows through different forest age classes in the central Oregon Coast Range. Journal of the American Water Resources Association. 38: 1-17.

May, C.L.; Gresswell, R.E. 2004. Processes and rates of sediment and wood accumulation in headwater streams of the central Oregon Coast Range. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms. 28: 409-424.

McArdle, R.E.; Meyer, W.B.; Bruce, D. 1961. The yield of Douglas-fir in the Pacific Northwest. Tech. Bull. 201. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture. 74 p.

McComb, W.C.; McGrath, M.T.; Spies, T.A.; Vesely, D. 2002. Models for mapping potential habitat at landscape scales: an example using Northern spotted owls. Forest Science. 48: 203-216.

**McCune, B.; Geiser, L. 1997.** Macrolichens of the Pacific Northwest. Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University Press. 386 p.

McDade, M.H.; Swanson, F.J.; McKee, W.A.; Franklin, J.F.; Van Sickle, J. 1990. Source distance of coarse woody debris entering small streams in western Oregon and Washington. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 20: 326-330.

McIver, J. D.; Starr, L. 2000. Environmental effects of postfire logging: literature review and annotated bibliography. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-486. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 72 p.

**McIver, J.D.; Starr, L. 2001**. A literature review on the environmental effects of postfire logging. Western Journal of Applied Forestry. 16: 159-168.

McKenzie, D.; Gedenof, Z.; Peterson, D.L.; Mote, P. 2004. Climatic change, wildfire, and conservation. Conservation Biology. 18: 890-902.

**McLain, R.J.; Lee, R.G. 1996**. Adaptive management: promises and pitfalls. Environmental Management. 20(4): 437-448.

McShane, C.; Hamer, T.; Carter, H.; Swartzman, G.; Friesen, V.; Ainley, D.; Tressler, R.; Nelson, K.; Burger, A.; Spear, L.; Mohagen, T.; Martin, R.; Henkel, L.; Prindle, K.; Strong, C.; Keany, J. 2004. Evaluation report for the 5-year status review of the marbled murrelet in Washington, Oregon, and California. 272 p. Contract No: 101813CO46. Portland, OR: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 1.On file with: Barry Mulder, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 911 NE 11<sup>th</sup> Ave., Portland OR 97232.

**Megahan, W.F.; Kidd, W.J. 1972**. Effects of logging and logging roads on erosion and sediment deposition from steep terrain. Journal of Forestry. 70: 136-171.

**Meyer, J.L.; Wallace, J.B. 2001**. Lost linkages and lotic ecology: rediscovering small streams. In: Press, M.C.; Huntley, N.J.; Levins, S., eds. Ecology: achievement and challenge. Oxford, United Kingdom: Blackwell Scientific: 295-317.

**Miller, D.; Luce, C.H.; Benda, L.E. 2003**. Time, space, and epidsodicity of physical disturbance in streams. Forest Ecology and Management. 178: 121-140.

Miller, S.L.; Ralph, C.J.; Raphael, M.G.; Strong, G.; Thompson, C.; Baldwin, J.; Huff, M.H. [In press]. At-sea monitoring of marbled murrelet population status and trend in the Northwest Plan area. In: Huff, M., tech. coord. Marbled murrelet effectiveness monitoring team; Northwest Forest Plan—the first 10 years (1994-2003): status and trend of populations and nesting habitat for the marbled murrelet. Gen. Tech.

Rep. PNW-GTR-650. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station: XXX-XXX.

Mills, J.R.; Zhou, X. 2003. Projecting national forest inventoried for the 2000 RPA timber assessment. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-568. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 58 p.

Minore, D; Laacke, R.J. 1992. Natural regeneration. In: Hobbs, S.D.; Tesch, S.D.; Owston, P.W.; Stewart, R.E.; Tappeiner, J.C. II, Wells, G.E., eds. Reforestation practices in southwest Oregon and northern California. Corvallis, OR: Forest Research Laboratory, Oregon State University: 258-283.

Minshall, G.W.; Cummins, K.W.; Peterson, R.C.; Cushing, C.E.; Bruns, D.A.; Sedell, J.R.; Vannote, R.L. 1985. Development in stream ecosystem theory. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 42: 1045-1055.

Minshall, G.W.; Robison, C.T.; Lawrence, D.E. 1997. Postfire responses of lotic ecosystems in Yellowstone National Park, U.S.A. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 54: 2509-2525.

**Moeur, M**. **2004**. Personal communication. Vegetation monitoring leader. Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region (R6), 333 SW First Avenue, Portland, OR 97204.

Moeur, M.; Spies, T.A.; Hemstrom, M.; Alegria, J.; Browning, J.; Cissel, J.; Cohen, W.B.; Demeo, T.E.; Healey, S.; Warbington, R. [In press]. Northwest Forest Plan—the first 10 years (1994-2003): status and trends of late-successional and old-growth forests. Gen. Tech.Rep. PNW-GTR-646. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. xx p.

**Molina, R. 2004.** Developing tools to sustain biological diversity. Western Forester. 49: 7.

Molina, R.; McKenzie, D.; Lesher, R.; Ford, J.; Alegria, J.; Cutler, R. 2003. Strategic survey framework for the Northwest Forest Plan survey and manage program. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-573. Portland, OR: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 34 p.

**Montevecchi, W.A.; Myers, R.A. 1997**. Centurial and decadal oceanographic influences on changes in northern gannet populations and diets in the north-west Atlantic: implications for climate change. ICES Journal of Marine Science. 54: 608–614.

**Montgomery, D.R.; Buffington, J.M. 1993.** Channel classification, prediction of channel response, and assessment of channel condition. Washington State Timber, Fish and Wildlife Tech. Rep. TFW-SH10-93-002. Seattle, WA: University of Washington. 84 p. [plus maps].

**Montgomery, D.R.; Grant, G.E.; Sullivan, K. 1995**. Watershed analysis as a framework for implementing ecosystem management. Water Resources Bulletin. 31: 369-386.

**Montgomery, D.R.; Massong, T.M.; Hawley, S.C.S. 2003**. Influence of debris flows and log jams on the location of pools and alluvial channel reaches, Oregon Coast Range. Geological Society of America Bulletin. 115: 78-88.

Montréal Process Working Group. 1998. The Montréal Process. <a href="http://www.mpci.org">http://www.mpci.org</a> (October 8, 2004).

**Morrison, M.L.; Marcot, B.G. 1995**. An evaluation of resource inventory and monitoring program used in national forest planning. Environmental Management. 19: 147-156.

**Moyle, P.B.; Sato, G.M. 1991**. On the design in aquatic to protect native fish. In: Minckley, W.L.; Deacon, J.E., eds. Battle against extinction: native fish management in the American west. Tucson, AZ: University of Arizona Press: 155-169.

Muhn, J.; Stuart, H.R. 1988. Opportunity and challenge the story of the BLM. Washington DC: U.S. Department of the Interior. 303 p.

Muir, J. 1912. The Yosemite. New York: Century. 284 p.

Muir, P.S.; Mattingly, R.L.; Tappeiner, J.C., II; Bailey, J.D.; Elliott, W.E.; Hagar, J.C.; Miller, J.C.; Peterson, E.B.; Starkey, E.E. 2002. Managing for biodiversity in young Douglas-fir forests of western Oregon. Biological Science Report USGS/BRD/BSR-2002-0006. Corvallis, OR: U.S. Geological Society, Forest and Rangeland Ecosystem Science Center. 76 p.

Mulder B.S.; Noon, B.R.; Spies, T.A.; Raphael, M.G.; Palmer, C.J.; Olsen, A.R.; Reeves, G.H.; Welsh, H.H., tech. coords. 1999. The strategy and design of the effectiveness monitoring program for the Northwest Forest Plan. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-437. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 138 p.

Multiple Use Sustained Yield Act of 1960 [MUSYA]; Act of June 12, 1960, 16 U.S.C. (note), 528-531.

**Murphy, M.L.; Koski, K.V. 1989**. Input and depletion of coarse woody debris in Alaska streams. North American Journal of Fisheries Management. 9: 427-436.

Murphy, M.L.; Koski, K.V.; Heifetz, J.; Johnson, S.W.; Kirchofer, D.; Thedinga, J.F. 1985. Role of large organic debris as winter habitat for juvenile salmonids in Alaska streams. Proceedings Western Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies. 1984: 251-262.

Naiman, R.J.; Beechie, T.J.; Benda, L.E.; Berg, D.R.; Bisson, P.A.; McDonald, L.H.; O'Conner, M.D.; Olson, P.L.; Steel, E.A. 1992. Fundamental elements of ecologically healthy watersheds in the Pacific Northwest coastal ecoregion. In: Naiman, R.J., ed. Watershed management: balancing sustainability and environmental change. New York: Springer-Verlag: 127-188.

Naiman, R.J.; Bilby, R.E., eds. 1998. River ecology and management: lessons from the Pacific coastal ecoregion. New York: Springer-Verlag. 705 p.

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 [NEPA]; 42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.

National Forest Management Act of 1976 [NFMA]; Act of October 22, 1976; 16 U.S.C. 1600.

**National Research Council. 1996**. Upstream: salmon and society in the Pacific Northwest. Washington, DC: National Academy Press. 452 p.

National Research Council Committee on Environmental Issues. 2000. Committee on environmental issues in Pacific Northwest forest management, Board on Biology, National Research Council. Environmental issues in Pacific Northwest forest management. Washington, DC: National Academy Press. 280 p.

Nauman, R.S.; Olson, D.H. 1999. Survey and Manage salamander known sites. In: Olson, D.H., ed. Survey protocols for amphibians under the Survey and Manage provision of the Northwest Forest Plan. Version 3.0. Interagency publication of the Regional Ecosystem Office, Portland, OR. BLM Publication BLM/OR/WA/PT-00/033+1792; U.S. Government Printing Office: 2000-589-124/04022 Regional No. 10. 43-78, Chap. II.

Newbold, J.D.; Elwood, J.W.; O'Neill, R.V.; Van Winkle, W. 1982. Nutrient spiraling in streams: implications for nutrient limitation and invertebrate activities. American Naturalist. 120: 628-652.

**Nickelson, T.E.; Rodgers, J.D.; Johnson, S.L.; Solazzi, M.F. 1992**. Seasonal changes in habitat use by juvenile coho salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus kisutch</u>) in Oregon coastal streams. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 43: 527-535.

**Niwa, C.G.; Peck, R.W. 2002.** Influence of prescribed fire on carabid beetle (Carabidae) and spider (Araneae) assemblages in forest litter in southwestern Oregon. Environmental Entomology. 31(5): 785-796.

**Nonaka, E.; Spies, T.A. [In Press]**. Historical range of variability in landscape structure: a simulation study in Oregon, USA. Ecological Applications.

**Noss, R.F. 1990.** Indicators for monitoring biodiversity: a hierarchical approach. Conservation Biology. 4: 355-364.

**Ohmann, J.L; Gregory, M.J.; Spies, T.A. [In prep.].** Influence of environment, disturbance, and ownership on forest vegetation biodiversity of coastal Oregon, USA. Ecological Applications.

Old Growth Definition Task Group [Franklin, J.F.; Hall, F.; Laudenslayer, W.; Maser, C.; Nunan, J.; Poppino, J.; Ralph, C.J.; Spies, T.]. 1986. Interim definitions for old-growth Douglas-fir and mixed-conifer stands in the Pacific Northwest and California. Res. Note PNW-447. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Research Station.

Olson, G.S.; Glenn, E.M.; Anthony, R.G.; Forsman, E.D.; Reid, J.A.; Loschl, P.J.; Ripple, W.J. 2004. Modeling demographic performance of northern spotted owls relative to forest habitat in Oregon. Journal of Wildlife Management. 68: 1039-1053.

O'Neill, R.V.; DeAngelis, D.L.; Waide, J.B.; Allen, T.F.H. 1986. A hierarchical concept of ecosystems. Monographs in Population Biology 23. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. 253 p.

Oregon and California Revested Lands Sustained Yield Act of 1937 (O and C Act). Act of August 28, 1937, Public No. 405, 75<sup>th</sup> Congress, H.R. 7618.

**Oregon Department of Forestry [ODF]. 2001.** Northwest Oregon state forests management plan. Salem, OR.

**Oregon Progress Board**. **2000**. Oregon State of the Environment Report 2000. [Online]. Available: {http://egov.oregon.gov/DAS/OPB//a>} [February 1, 2005].

**Oreskes, N. 2004**. Beyond the ivory tower: the scientific consensus on climate change. Science. 306: 1686.

**Organic Administration Act of 1897**. Act of June 4, 1897. Ch. 2, 30 Stat. 11, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 473-475, 477-482-551.

**Overton, W.S. 1977**. A strategy for model construction. In: Hall, C.A.S.; Day, J.W., eds. Ecosystem modeling in theory and practice: an introduction with case histories. New York: John Wiley and Sons: 50-73.

**Peery, M.Z. 2004.** Ecology of marbled murrelets in central California. Berkeley, CA: University of California. 210 p. Ph.D. dissertation.

**Perry, D.A. 1995**. Status of forest habitat of the marbled murrelet. In: Ralph, C.J.; Hunt, G.L.; Raphael, M.G.; Piatt, J.F., eds. Ecology and conservation of the marbled murrelet.

Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-152. Albany, CA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station: 381-384.

Phillips, D. [In press]. Payments to county governments. In: Charnley, S.; Donoghue, E.; Stuart, C.; Dillingham, C.; Buttolph, L.; Kay, W.; McLain, R.; Moseley, C.; Phillips, R. Northwest Forest Plan—the first 10 years: socioeconomic monitoring results. Rural communities and economics. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-649. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. xx p. Chap. 7. Vol 3.

Pilliod, D.S.; Bury, R.B.; Hyde, E.J.; Pearl, C.A.; Corn, P.S. 2003. Fire and amphibians in North America. Forest Ecology and Management. 178: 163-181.

Pinchot, G. 1947. Breaking new ground. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich. 522 p.

Pipkin, J. 1998. The Northwest Forest Plan revisited. [Place of publication unknown].U.S. Department of the Interior, Office of Policy and Analysis. 117 p. On file with:Human and Natural Resources Interaction Program, Forestry Sciences Laboratory, 620S.W. Main, Suite 400, Portland, OR 97205.

**Poage, N.J.; Tappeiner, J.C., II. 2002**. Long-term patterns of diameter and basal area growth old-growth Douglas-fir trees in western Oregon. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 32: 1232-1243.

**Poff, N.L.; Ward, J.V. 1990**. Physical habitat template of lotic ecosystems: recovery in the context of spatial heterogeneity. Environmental Management. 14: 629-645.

**Potondy, J.P.; Cole, G.F.; Megahan, W.F. 1991**. A procedure for estimating sediment yields from forested watersheds. In: Proceedings: fifth federal interagency sedimentation conference. Washington, DC: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission: 12-46 to 12-54

Quigley, T.M.; Arbelbide, S.J., tech. eds. 1997. An assessment of ecosystem components in the Interior Columbia and portions of the Klamath and the Great Basin. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-405. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. Volumes 1-4.

Quigley, T.M; Haynes, R.W.; Graham, R.T., eds. 1996. Integrated scientific assessment for ecosystem management in the Interior Columbia Basin. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-382. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 303 p. (Quigley, T.M., tech. ed. The Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project: Scientific Assessment.)

Ralph, C.J.; Hunt, G.L., Jr.; Raphael, M.G.; Piatt, J.F. 1995. Ecology and conservation of the marbled murrelet in North America: an overview. In: Ralph, C.J.; Hunt, G.L., Jr.; Raphael, M.G.; Piatt, J.F., eds. Ecology and conservation of the marbled

murrelet. Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-GTR-152. Albany CA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station: 3-22.

**Raphael, M.G. 2004**. Predicting abundance of marbled murrelet nesting platforms from tree diameter. Northwestern Naturalist. 85: 87 (abstract).

**Raphael, M.G.; Evans Mack, D.; Cooper, B.A. 2002a**. Landscape-scale relationships between abundance of marbled murrelets and distribution of nesting habitat. Condor. 104: 331-342.

Raphael, M.G.; Evans Mack, D.; Marzluff, J.M.; Luginbuhl, J. 2002b. Effects of forest fragmentation on populations of the marbled murrelet. Studies in Avian Biology. 25: 221-235.

Raphael, M.G.; Galleher, B.M; Huff, M.H.; Miller, S.L.; Nelson, S.K.; Young, R.D. [In press]. Spatially-explicit estimates of potential nesting habitat for the Marbled Murrelet. In: Huff, M., tech. coord. Marbled murrelet effectiveness monitoring team; northwest forest plan—the first 10 years (1994-2003): status and trend of populations and nesting habitat for the marbled murrelet. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-650. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station: XXX-XXX. Chap. 5.

Raphael, M.G.; Young, J.A.; McKelvey, K.; Galleher, B.M.; Peeler, K.C. 1994. A simulation analysis of population dynamics of the northern spotted owl in relation to forest management alternatives. In: Final environmental impact statement on management of habitat for late-successional and old-growth forest related species within the range of the Northern spotted owl: 1-16. Vol. II, App. J-3.

Raven, P.H. 1994. Defining biodiversity. Nature Conservancy. 44(1): 10-15.

Reeves, G.H.; Benda, L.E.; Burnett, K.M.; Bisson, P.A.; Sedell, J.R. 1995. A disturbance-based ecosystem approach to maintaining and restoring freshwater habitats of evolutionarily significant units of anadromous salmonids in the Pacific Northwest. In: Nielsen, J., ed. Evolution in the aquatic ecosystem: defining unique units in population conservation. Bethesda, MD: American Fisheries Society Symposium: 17: 334-349.

**Reeves, G.H.; Bisson, P.A.; Dambacher, J.M. 1998**. Fish communities. In: Naiman, R.J.; Bilby, R.E., eds. River ecology and management: lessons from the Pacific coastal ecoregion. New York: Springer-Verlag: 200-234.

Reeves, G.H.; Burnett, K.M.; Gregory, S.V. 2002. Fish and aquatic ecosystems in the Oregon Coast Range. In: Hobbs, S.D.; Hayes, J.P.; Johnson, R.L.; Reeves, G.H.; Spies, T.A.; Tappeiner, J.C., II; Wells, G.E., eds. Forest and stream management in the Oregon Coast Range. Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University Press: 68-98.

Reeves, G.H.; Burnett, K.M.; McGarry, E.V. 2003. Sources of large wood in a pristine watershed in coastal Oregon. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 33: 1363-1370.

**Reeves, G.H.; Everest, F.H.; Sedell, J.R. 1993**. Diversity of juvenile anadromous salmonid assemblages in coastal Oregon basins with different levels of timber harvest. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. 122: 309-317.

Reeves, G.H.; Hohler, D.B.; Larsen, D.P.; Busch, D.E.; Kratz, K.; Reynolds, K.; Stein, K.F.; Atzet, T.; Hays, P.; Tehan, M. 2004. Effectiveness monitoring for the aquatic and riparian component of the Northwest Forest Plan: conceptual framework and options. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-577. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 71 p.

Reeves, G.H.; Rieman, B.E.; Bisson, P.A.; Benda, L.E. [In review]. Salvage logging in riparian ecosystems. Conservation Biology.

Regional Ecosystem Office (REO). 2000. Standards and guidelines and the adaptive management area system. Adaptive management area work group, Paper 1. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, Regional Ecosystem Office. 15 p.

**Reid, L.M. 1998.** Watershed analysis. In: Naiman, R.J.; Bilby, R.E., eds. River ecology and management: lessons from the Pacific coastal ecoregion. New York: Springer-Verlag: 476-501.

Resh, V.H.; Brown, A.V.; Covich, A.P.; Gurtz, M.E.; Li, H.W.; Minshall, G.W.; Reice, S.R.; Sheldon, A.L.; Wallace, J. B.; Wissmar, R. 1988. The role of disturbance in stream ecology. Journal of the North American Benthological Society. 7: 433-455.

**Reyers, B.; Fairbanks, D.H.K.; van Jaarsveld, A.S.; Thompson, M. 2001.** Priority areas for conservation of South African vegetation: a coarse filter approach. Diversity and Distributions. 7: 79-95.

**Richardson, C.W. 1996.** Stability and change in forest-based communities: a selected bibliography. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-366. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 36 p.

**Rieman, B.E.; Clayton, J. 1997.** Fire and fish: issues of forest health and conservation of native fishes. Fisheries. 22: 6-15.

Ringold, P.L.; Mulder, B.; Alegria, J.; Czaplewski, R.L.; Tolle, T.; Burnett, K. 1999. Establishing a regional monitoring strategy; the Pacific Northwest Forest Plan. Environmental Management. 23: 179-192.

**Robbins, W.G. 1997**. Landscapes of promise. The Oregon story, 1940-2000. Seattle, WA: University of Washington Press. 392 p.

**Robbins, W.G. 2004**. Landscapes of conflict. The Oregon story, 1940-2000. Seattle, WA: University of Washington Press. 414 p.

**Robison, E.G.; Beschta, R.L. 1990**. Characteristics of coarse woody debris for several coastal streams of southeast Alaska, U.S.A. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 47: 1684-1693.

**Rodway, M.S. 1990**. Status report on the marbled murrelet in Canada. Ottawa, ON, Canada: Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. 64 p.

**Roghair, C.N.; Dolloff, C.A.; Underwood, M.T. 2002**. Response of a brook trout population and instream habitat to catastrophic flood and debris flow. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. 131: 718-730.

**Roni, P.; Quinn, T.P. 2001**. Density and size of juvenile salmonid in response to placement of large woody debris in western Oregon and Washington. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 58: 282-292.

**Root, K.V.; Akcakaya, H.R.; Ginzburg, L. 2003.** A multispecies approach to ecological valuation and conservation. Conservation Biology. 17(1): 196-206.

Rosgen, D.L. 1994. A classification of natural rivers. Catena. 22: 169-199.

Saab, V.; Dudley, J. 1998. Responses of cavity-nesting birds to stand-replacement fire and salvage logging in pondersoa pine/Douglas-fir forests of southwestern Idaho. Res. Paper. RMRS-RP 11. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 17 p.

**SAF (Society of American Foresters). 1984**. Scheduling the harvest of old growth. Society of American Foresters. SAF Resource Policy Series. Bethesda, MD. 44 p.

**Salwasser, H. 2004.** Adaptive management: myth and reality [online] URL: http://abstracts.co.allenpress.com/pweb/esa2004/document/?ID=41581 (March 2005)

**Scheuering, R.W. 2004**. Shapers of the great debate on conservation. Westport, CT: Greenwood Publishing Group. 312 p.

Schmidt, K.M.; Menakis, J.P.; Hardy, C.C.; Hann, W.J.; Bunnell, D.L. 2002.

Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen.

Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41p. + CD.

Schmidt, K.N.; Hunter, J.E.; Stauffer, H.B.; Miller, S.L.; Ralph, C.J.; Roberts, L. 2000. Status and distribution of the marbled murrelet in interior northwestern California: final report. Eureka, CA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Six Rivers National Forest. 28 p.

**Secure Rural School and Community Self-Determination Act 2000**; Act of October 30, 2000; P.L. 106-393, H.R. 2389, 114 Stat. 1607. Title II: Special Projects on Federal Lands.

**Sedell, J.R.; Reeves, G.H.; Hauer, F.R.; Stanford, J.A.; Hawkins, C.P. 1990**. Role of refugia in recovery from disturbances: modern fragmented and disconnected landscapes. Environmental Management. 14: 711-724.

**Sensenig, T.S. 2002**. Development, fire history and current and past growth, of old-growth and young-growth forest stands in the Cascade, Siskiyou and mid-coast mountains of southwestern Oregon. Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University. 180 p. Ph.D. dissertation.

**Sessions, J.; Buckman, R.; Newton, M.; Hamann, J. Unpublished.** The Biscuit Fire: management options for forest regeneration, fire and insect risk reduction, and timber salvage. Unpublished report. On file with: College of Forestry, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331.

Sexton, W.T.; Malk, A.J.; Szaro, R.; Johnson, N.C., eds. 1999. Ecological stewardship: a common reference for ecosystem management. Oxford, United Kingdom: Elsevier Science. 761 p. Vol. 3.

**Shaffer, W. 2000.** Foreward. In: Perry, J.N.; Smith, R.H.; Woiwod, I.P.; Morse, D.R., eds. Chaos in real data. Boston, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers: vii-x.

Shields, D.J.; Martin, I.M.; Martin, W.E., Haefele, M.A. 2002. Survey results of the American public's values, objectives, beliefs, and attitudes regarding forests and grasslands: a technical document supporting the 2000 USDA Forest Service RPA Assessment. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-95. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 111 p.

**Shindler, B.; Brunson, M.; Stankey, G. 2002**. Social acceptability of forest conditions and management practices: a problem analysis. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-537. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 68 p.

**Sillett, S.C.; Goslin, M.N. 1999**. Distribution of epiphytic macrolichens in relation to remnant trees in a multiple-age Douglas-fir forest. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 29: 1204-1215.

**Sillett, S.C.; McCune, B. 1998**. Survival and growth of cynaolichen transplants in Douglas-fir forest canopies. The Bryologist. 10: 20-31.

Smith, R.C.; Gedney, D.R. 1965. Manpower use in the wood products industries of Oregon and Washington 1950-1963. Res. Pap. PNW-28. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 48 p.

Sokal, R.R.; Rohlf, F.J. 1969. Biometry. San Francisco: W.H. Freeman and Co. 749 p.

**Sommers, P. 2001**. Monitoring socioeconomic trends in the northern spotted owl region: framework, trends update, and community level monitoring recommendations. Seattle, Washington: U.S. Geologic Survey, Forest and Rangeland Ecosystem Science Center, Cascadia Field Station, College of Forest Resources, University of Washington. 56 p. <a href="http://www.reo.gov/monitoring/socio/ph1final-body.pdf">http://www.reo.gov/monitoring/socio/ph1final-body.pdf</a>

Sommers, P.; Lee, R.G.; Jackson, E. 2002. Monitoring economic and social change in the northern spotted owl region: Phase II – Developing and testing an indicators approach. Draft technical report. 40 p. On file with: U.S. Geologic Survey Forest and Rangeland Ecosystem Science Center, Cascadia Field Station, College of Forest Resources, Box 352100, Seattle, WA 98195-2800.

**Spence, B.C.; Lomnicky, G.A.; Hughes, R.M.; Novitzki, R.P. 1996**. An ecosystem approach to salmonid conservation. TR-4501-96-6057. Corvallis, OR: ManTech Environmental Reserch Services Corp. 356 p.

**Spencer, C.N.; Gabel, K.O.; Howard, F.R. 2003**. Wildfire effects on stream food webs and nutrient dynamics in Glacier National Park, USA. Forest Ecology and Management. 178: 5-22.

**Spies, T.A. 2004**. Ecological concepts and diversity of old-growth forests. Journal of Forestry. 102: 14-20.

Spies, T.A.; Franklin, J.F. 1991. The structure of natural young, mature and old-growth Douglas-fir forests. In: Ruggiero, L.F.; Aubry, K.B.; Carey, A.B.; Huff, M.H., eds. Wildlife and vegetation of unmanaged Douglas-fir forests. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station: 91-110.

**Spies, T.A.; Franklin, J.F.; Thomas, T.B. 1988**. Coarse woody debris in Douglas-fir forests of western Oregon and Washington. Ecology. 69(6): 1689-1702.

Spies, T.A.; Hemstrom, M.A.; Youngblood, A.; Hummel, S. [Submitted]. Conserving old-growth forest diversity in disturbance-prone landscapes. Conservation Biology.

**Spies, T.A.; Johnson, K.N. 2003**. The importance of scale in assessing the compatibility of forest commodities and biodiversity. In: Monserud, R.A.; Haynes, R.W.; Johnson, A.C., eds. Compatible forest management. Dordrecth, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers: 211-235. Chap. 8.

Spies, T.A; McComb, B.C.; Kennedy, R.; McGrath, M.T.; Olsen, K.; Pabst, R.J. [Submitted]. Potential effects of forest policies on terrestrial biodiversity in a multi-ownership province. Ecological Applications. On file with: Tom Spies, Pacific Northwest Research Station, 3200 SW Jefferson, Corvallis, OR 97331.

Spies, T.A.; Reeves, G.H.; Burnett, K.M.; McComb, W.C.; Johnson, K.N.; Grant, G.; Ohmann, J.L.; Garman, S.L.; Bettinger, P.S. 2002. Assessing the ecological consequences of forest policies in a multi-ownership province in Oregon. In: Liu, J.; Taylor, W.W., eds. Integrating landscape ecology into natural resource management. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press: 179-207.

Stankey, G.H.; Bormann, B.T.; Ryan, C.; Shindler, B.; Sturtevant, V.; Clark, R.N.; Philpot, C. 2003a. Adaptive management and the Northwest Forest Plan: rhetoric and reality. Journal of Forestry. 101(1): 40-46.

**Stankey, G.H.; Clark, R.N.; Bliss, J. 2003b**. Fostering compatible forest resource management: the conditional nature of social acceptability. In: Monserud, R.A.; Haynes, R.W.; Johnson, A.C., eds. Compatible forest management. Dordrecht, The Netherlands:

Kluwer Academic Publishers: 453-480. Chap. 16.

**Stankey, G.H.; McCool, S.F.; Clark, R.N. 2003c**. Building innovative institutions for ecosystem management: integrating analysis and inspiration. In: Shindler, B.A.; Beckley, T.M.; Finley, M.C., eds. Two paths toward sustainable forests. Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University Press: 271-295. Chap. 15.

**Stankey, G.H.; Shindler, B. 1997.** Adaptive management areas: achieving the promise, avoiding the peril. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-394. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 21 p.

Swanson, F.J.; Kratz, T.K.; Caine, N.; Woodmansee, R.G. 1988. Landform effects on ecosystem patterns and processes. BioScience. 38: 92-98.

Tappeiner J.C., II; Huffman, D., Marshall, D.; Spies, T.A.; Bailey, J.D. 1997.

Density, ages, and growth rates in old-growth and young-growth forests in coastal

Oregon. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 27: 638-648.

**Taylor, A.H.; Skinner, C.N. 1998**. Fire history and landscape dynamics in a late-successional reserve, Klamath Mountains, California, USA. Forest Ecology and Management. 111: 285-301.

**Taylor, D.E. 2002.** Race, class, gender and American environmentalism. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-534. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 51 p.

**Thomas, J.W., ed. 1979**. Wildlife habitats in managed forests: the Blue Mountains of Oregon and Washington. United States Department of Agriculture. Agricultural Handbook 553. Washington, DC: 512 p.

**Thomas, J.W. 2004.** The journals of a Forest Service chief. Steen, H., ed. Seattle, WA: The University of Washington Press. 417 p.

Thomas, J.W.; Forsman, E.D.; Lint, J.B.; Meslow, E.C.; Noon, B.R.; Verner, J. 1990. A conservation strategy for the northern spotted owl: report to the Interagency Scientific Committee to address the conservation of the northern spotted owl. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Fish and Wildlife Service, National Park Service. 427 p.

Thomas, J.W.; Raphael, M.G.; Anthony, R.G.; Forsman, E.D.; Gunderson, A.G.; Holthausen, R.S.; Marcot, B.G.; Reeves, G.H.; Sedell, J.R.; Solis, D.M. 1993.

Viability assessments and management considerations for species associated with late-successional and old-growth forests of the Pacific Northwest. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, U.S. Government Printing Office. 530 p.

**Thomas, S.C.; Liguori, D.A.; Halpern C.B. 2001.** Corticolous bryophytes in managed Douglas-fir forests: habitat differentiation and responses to thinning and fertilization. Canadian Journal of Botany. 79: 886-896.

**Trombulak, S.C.; Frissell, C.A. 1999**. Review of the ecological effects of roads on terrestrial and aquatic communities. Conservation Biology. 14: 18-30.

**Tschaplinski, P.J. 2000.** The effects of forest harvesting, fishing, climate variation, and ocean conditions on salmanoid populations of Carnation Creek, Vancouver Island. In: Knudsen, E.E.; Steward, C.R.; MacDonald, D.D.; Reiser, D.W., eds. Sustainable fisheries management: Pacific salmon. Boca Raton, FL: Lewis Publishers: 297-327.

**Tuchmann, E.T.; Connaughton, K.P.; Freedman, L.E.; Moriwaki, C.B. 1996.** The Northwest Forest Plan: a report to the President and Congress. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of Forestry and Economic Assistance. 253 p.

**Turley, M. 2004.** Personal communication. Regional statistician. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Regional Office, 333 S.W. 1<sup>st</sup> Ave., Portland OR 97333.

**Turner, M.G.; Romme, W.H.; Gardner, R.H. 1994**. Landscape disturbance models and the long-term dynamics of natural areas. Natural Areas Journal. 14: 3-11.

U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1992. Background report for development of the Forest Service management strategy for Pacific salmon and steelhead habitat.Washington, DC: 41 p.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service [USDA FS]. 2004. National report on sustainable forests—2003. Washington, DC: 122 p. [plus appendicies].

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service [USDA FS]. 2005. National ForestSystem land management planning. 36 CFR Part 219. RIN 0596-AB86. Federal Register:70(3) 1023-1061.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and RangeExperiment Station [USDA FS]. 1963. Timber trends in western Oregon andWashington. Res. Pap. PNW-5. Portland, OR: 154 p.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; U.S. Department of the Interior,
Bureau of Land Management [USDA and USDI]. 1994a. Final supplemental
environmental impact statement on management of habitat for late-successional and oldgrowth forest related species within the range of the northern spotted owl. Portland, OR:
526 p. Vol. 1.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; U.S. Department of the Interior,

Bureau of Land Management [USDA and USDI]. 1994b. Record of decision for

amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management planning documents within the range of the northern spotted owl. Standards and guidelines for management of habitat for late-successional and old-growth forest related species within the range of the northern spotted owl. [Place of publication unknown]. 74 p.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management [USDA and USDI]. 2000. Final supplemental environmental impact statement to the survey and manage, protection buffer, and other mitigation measures standards and guidelines. [Place of origin unknown]. Vol. 1, Chap. 1-4.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management [USDA and USDI]. 2001. Record of decision and standards and guidelines for amendments to the survey and manage, protection buffer, an other mitigation measures standards and guidelines. [Place of publication unknown]. Available at: http://www.or.blm.gov/nwfpnepa/FSEIS-2000/ROD-SandG.pdf

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; U.S. Department of the Interior,
Bureau of Land Management [USDA and USDI]. 2003. Final supplemental
Environmental Impact Statement: clarification of language in the 1994 Record of
Decision for the Northwest Forest Plan National Forests and Bureau of Land
Management Districts within the range of the northern spotted owl. Portland, OR: 100 p.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management [USDA and USDI]. 2004a. Final supplemental environmental impact statement to remove or modify the survey and manage mitigation standards and guidelines. [Place of origin unknown]. Vols. 1 and 2.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; U.S. Department of the Interior,
Bureau of Land Management [USDA and USDI]. 2004b. Record of decision to
remove or modify the survey and manage mitigation measures standards and guidelines.
[Place of origin unknown].

**U.S. Department of the Interior [USDI]. 1992**. Recovery plan for the northern spotted owl - final draft. Portland, OR: 2 vol. 812 p.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service [USFWS]. 1997. Recovery plan for the threatenedMarbled Murrelet (Brachyramphus marmoratus) in Washington, Oregon, and California.Portland, OR: Region 1. 202 p.

Van Horne, B. 1983. Density as a misleading indicator of habitat quality. Journal of Wildlife Management. 47: 8893-8901.

Van Sickle, J.; Gregory, S.V. 1990. Modeling inputs of large woody debris to streams from falling trees. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 20:1593-1601.

Vannote, R.L.; Minshall, G.W.; Cummins, K.W.; Sedell, J.R.; Cushing, C.E. 1980. The river continuum concept. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 37: 130-137.

Wall, B.R.; Oswald, D.D. 1975. A technique and relationships for projections of employment in the Pacific Coast forest products industries. Res. Pap. PNW-189. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 49 p.

Wallace, J.B.; Webster, J.R.; Meyer, J.L. 1995. Influence of log additions on physical and biotic characteristics of a mountain stream. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 52: 2120-2137.

Walters, C. 1997. Challenges in adaptive management of riparian and coastal ecosystems. Conservation Ecology. 1: 1. [online] Available: {http://www.consecol.org/vol1/iss2/art1} (March 2005).

Walters, C.J. 1986. Adaptive management of renewable resources. New York: Macmillan. 374 p.

**Warren, D.D. 2004**. Production, prices, employment and trade in Northwest forest industries, all quarters 2002. Resour. Bull. PNW-RB-241. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 171 p.

Washington Forest Practices Board. 1993. Standard methodology for conducting watershed analysis. Version 1.2. 49 p.

Webster, J.R.; Benfield, E.F.; Ehrman, T.P.; Schaeffer, M.A.; Tank, J.L.; Hutchens, J.J.; D'Angelo, D.J. 1999. What happens to allochthonous material that falls into streams? A synthesis of new and published information from Coweeta. Freshwater Biology. 41: 687-705.

Weisberg, P.J. 2004. Importance of non-stand-replacing fire for development of forest structure in the Pacific Northwest, USA. Forest Science. 50 (2): 245-258.

Weisberg, P.J.; Swanson, F.J. 2002. Regional synchroneity in fire regimes of western Oregon and Washington, USA. Forest Ecology and Management. 172: 17-28.

Wemple, B.C.; Swanson, F.J.; Jones, J.A. 2001. Forest roads and geomorphic process interactions, Cascade Range, Oregon. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms. 26: 191-204.

White, P.S.; Pickett, S.T.A. 1985. Natural disturbance and patch dynamics: an introduction. In: Pickett, S.T.A.; White, P.S., eds. The ecology of natural disturbance and patch dynamics. Orlando, FL: Academic Press: 3-13.

**Wild and Scenic River Act of 1968**; 16 U.S.C. 1271 (note), 1271-1287, 82 Stat. 906, as ameneded.

Wildavsky, A. 1988. Searching for safety. New Brunswick: Transaction Press. 253 p.

Wilderness Act of 1964; 16 U.S.C. 1121 (note), 1131-1136.

Williams, J.E.; Johnson, J.E.; Henderson, D.A.; Contreras-Balderas, S.; Williams, J.D.; Navarro-Mendoza, M.; McCallister, D.E.; Deacon, J.E. 1989. Fishes of North America, endangered, threatened, and of special concern. Fisheries. 14(6): 2-21.

**Wimberly, M.C. 2002**. Spatial simulation of historical landscape patterns in coastal forests of the Pacific Northwest. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 32: 1316-1328.

Wimberly, M.C.; Spies, T.A.; Long, C.J.; Whitlock, C. 2000. Simulating historical variability in the Oregon Coast Range. Conservation Biology. 14: 167-180.

Winter, L.E.; Brubaker, L.B.; Franklin, J.F.; Miller, E.A.; DeWitt, D.Q. 2002. Initiation of an old-growth Douglas-fir stand in the Pacific Northwest: a reconstruction from tree-ring records. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 32: 1039-1056.

**Wipfli, M.S.; Gregovich, D.P. 2002**. Invertebrates and detritus export from fishless headwater streams in southeastern Alaska: Implications for downstream salmond populations. Freshwater Biology. 47: 957-970.

**Wondzell, S.M.; King, J. 2003.** Postfire erosional processes in the Pacific Northwest and Rocky Mountain Regions. Forest Ecology and Management. 178: 75-87.

**Wright, C.S.; Agee, J.K. 2004**. Fire and vegetation history in the eastern Cascade Mountains, Washington. Ecological Applications. 14: 443-459.

**Young, M.K.; Hubert, W.A.; Wesche, T.A. 1991**. Selection of measures of substrate composition to estimate survival to emergence of salmonids and to detect changes in stream substrates. North American Journal of Fisheries Management. 11: 339-346.

**Youngblood, A.; Max, T.; Coe, K. 2004**. Stand structure in eastside old-growth ponderosa pine forests of Oregon and northern California. Forest Ecology and Management. 199: 191-217.

**Zhou, X.; Haynes, R.W.; Barbour, R.J. 2005.** Projections of timber harvest in western Oregon and Washington by county, owner, forest type, and age class. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-633. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 30 p.

**Zimmerman, A.; Church, M. 2001**. Channel morphology, gradient profiles and bed stresses during flood in a step-pool channel. Geomorphology. 40: 311-327.

## **Metric Equivalents**

When you know:	Multiply by:	To find:
Inches (in)	2.54	Centimeters
Feet (ft)	.3048	Meters
Cubic feet (ft <sup>3</sup> )	28.3	Cubic meters
Miles	1.609	Kilometers
Acres (ac)	.405	Hectares
Board feet, log scale	.0045	Cubic meter, log
Board feet, full sawn		
lumber scale	.0024	Cubic meter, lumber